

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_121572
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of the RMAT Designation on Biotechnology Stock Prices, Drug Development Timelines and Outcomes: An Empirical Analysis
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes the manuscript it scientifically correct and useful for the society for the next technology transfer as well. The work is good as per the following the various parameters in the article.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is good and suitable for the publication.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and complete description about the article work.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subdivision is good.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Work is good and scientific.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference are enough but, add some new references with the specific required style of the journal.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality is good checker from the springer grammar checker.	
Optional/General comments	Kindly make minor revision after that proceed the paper for the publication step.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahul Pal
Department, University & Country	ISF College of Pharmacy, India