

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_121600
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the influence of integrated nutrient management on yield and economics of wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) in North India Plains
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Integrated nutrient management plays a crucial role in enhancing the yield of the crop mainly cereals and research on such topics provide a better knowledge on different nutrient combinations to increase the growth and yield of the crops.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract need to be bit precise and two points, as mentioned in the comment section has to be deleted as they are not required in abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research topic clearly specifies the suitable nutrient combination which shows significant effect on the yield of the crop and farmers who grow similar crops can opt to these treatment combinations in order to gain better net returns.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes, but few references seemed to be mismatched with the one mentioned in the paragraphs	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	In result and discussion part, few references that are not aligned with the result are also added. Instead, references with the similar results from the other similar crops (Cereals) can be mentioned.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monika Reddy Kodavali
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India