

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Zoology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_120603
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Guinea fowl (Numida meleagris) production system in the urban commune of Madarounda, Niger.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Like</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Important study to know the people's perception</li> <li>2. Sufficient data for analysis</li> </ol> <p>Dislike</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Very basic analysis needs to add some additional statistic test</li> <li>2. No discussion part in the Manuscript</li> </ol>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>No comments</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes abstract needs to revised Add about the species and some basic information</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>In methodology section need to update the sub sections</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Add some statistical analysis</li> <li>2. Discussion must be added</li> <li>3. Methodology need to be revised</li> </ol>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -</p>	<p>Not sufficient. Add about the species citations Add discussion for this study so compare with other studies and literature.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need to revised</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Major revision</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pratikkumar Desai</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hemchandracharya North Gujarat University, India</b>