

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_121562
Title of the Manuscript:	MANAGEMENT IN FUSARIUM WILT MANAGEMENT OF CASTOR: EXPLORING THE POTENTIAL OF BIORATIONALS AND NEW FUNGICIDE MOLECULES AGAINST <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. ricini
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>Chemical agents or fungicide spary are effective in management of diseases but it cost harmful effect on health of human being. Diseases such as cancer caused by eating contaminated food. Therefore need to find natural biological ways to control it. Exactly this is done by author he recommended neem and chilli biorational. This research meet present problems, need and solution</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>No in title management word used twice for example MANAGEMENT IN FUSARIUM WILT MANAGEMENT OF CASTOR Title should be.... EXPLORING THE POTENTIAL OF BIORATIONALS AND NEW FUNGICIDE MOLECULES IN CASTOR AGAINST <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>Ricini</i> Author should think about changing title</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>Line no 1 in Abstract author used word pesticide but he worked on fungicide plz relook in this regards  Line no 13-14 in Abstract need following correction... The study recommended neem and chili as effective biorationals against <i>F. oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>ricini</i>.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes APPROPRIATE but need correction as below  Grammatical mistakes are present need to correct it for example (,) before and spelling mistakes like in introduction spelling of description is wrong  MATERIALS AND METHODS Collection and Preparation of inoculum: Give reference of method used for isolation  In RESULTS  Following subtitle is not required because it is repetitive in nature: <i>In vitro</i> evaluation of biorationals and new fungicide molecules against <i>Fusarium</i> wilt pathogen of castor  Author fail to explain result with the help of statistical analysis like he should explain which treatment is significant, non-significant, at par with. Even he fail to explain in table itself by star mark. He need to do that</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>Topic chosen and work done by author is good and required such time of solution in present time. He followed scientific materials and methods to explain his work. Given enough proof in photograph and tables.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> =</p>	<p>Not Sufficient, References are till 2022 only now we are in end of 2024 he need to add some recent references. Observed these things in references: grammatical correction, uneven spaces between words, scientific names are not italics, some journal names are in full form and some in short form no uniformity. Reference no 11 is incomplete. References written in different structure no uniformity as per standards given by journals.</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Need Improvement grammatically, spelling check, uneven spaces, commas etc	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Author should follows journals standards for writing method and check article for uniformity in style.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Vishal Ramchandra Patil</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Agriculture, Mahatma Phule Agricultural University, India</b>