

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_120638
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of promising genotypes of french bean (<i>phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) Suitable for ne india
Type of the Article	Scholarly manuscript

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is of great importance as it highlights on French Genotype that may be preferred for its good performance. It also gives room for more research be conducted to evaluate its suitability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	EVALUATION OF FRENCH BEAN GENOTYPES OF FRENCH BEAN (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris. L</i>) SUITABLE FOR NE INDIA	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No. The abstract requires to be improved, The abstract has to be beefed up to include; introduction, statement of the problem , objective, appropriate method used in the research, findings and the conclusion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The terminology used are scientific ie genotype, phaseolus vulgaris(meaning beans) and the approach used is based on natural science	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	References used are limited and more than one format was used in the reference, The author should consider adding more references in the same format.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	To improve on English suitability so that other readers can get the concept clearly	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is good for stakeholders to plant the genotype of French beans that will raise their output since they would have planted a genotype which is more productive.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lubao Wanyonyi Murere
Department, University & Country	Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, Kenya