

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_118352
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROBIAL QUALITY OF HOME-MADE STREET VENDED BEVERAGES IN REUSED BOTTLES SOLD IN MAKURDI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript offers valuable insights to scientific community. The study found high pathogen counts in homemade beverages sold in reused bottles, exceeding safe levels, indicating contamination risks.</p> <p>2. The title accurately reflects the research scope, but an alternative could be "Microbial Quality of Street-Vended Homemade Beverages in Reused Bottles in Makurdi".</p> <p>3. The abstract succinctly summarizes study objectives, methods, results, and conclusions, aiding reader comprehension.</p> <p>4. The manuscript's structure follows a standard format, facilitating clear organization and understanding.</p> <p>5. Scientifically, the methods, results, and statistical analysis appear sound.</p> <p>6. The references cover relevant studies, adding recent literature could enhance comprehensiveness.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article could be improved in terms of grammar and syntax, the content appears suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>---</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mrunali Patel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ganpat University, India</b>