

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121383
Title of the Manuscript:	FEEDBACK OF EMPLOYERS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF BS MATHEMATICS GRADUATES OF THE COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF EASTERN PHILIPPINES
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author needs to edit the abstract to highlight the research problem. All information is here, just edited to be more scholarly.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate. The author needs to rewrite the contents commented directly in the manuscript. * Just present: Introduction - methodology - Results & Discussion - Conclusion; However, Methods and Results section can be divided into subsections.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes! But, the author must use standard APA for references!!! As suggested directly in the manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NOT really! The English quality of the manuscript is not very bad, but not suitable for academic communication purposes. The author needs to reedit the manuscript as an academic writing The manuscript must be rewritten in many parts as directly suggested	
Optional/General comments	If this manuscript desires to be published, it must be rewritten the whole paper as directly suggested in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tran Cao Bao
Department, University & Country	Nong Lam University, Vietnam