

**ReviewForm3**

JournalName:	<a href="#">AsianJournalofEducationandSocialStudies</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJESS_121383
TitleoftheManuscript:	FEEDBACKOFEMPLOYERSONTHEPERFORMANCEOFBSMATHEMATICS GRADUATESOFTHECOLLEGE OFSCIENCE,UNIVERSITYOFEASTERNPHILIPPINES
Typeofthe Article	

## ReviewForm3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that a author should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>I appreciate this manuscript for its practical implications and its focus on bridging the gap between academia and industry. The study's detailed analysis of employer feedback provides actionable data that can help universities enhance their programs and better prepare students for the workforce. However, the manuscript could benefit from a more concise and structured presentation, as well as a clearer articulation of key findings and recommendations. Additionally, please explain the acronym 'BS' and what it stands for. Overall, the research addresses a significant issue and offers a solid foundation for future studies in educational and employment outcomes.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title of this article is suitable; however, make it more commercial. A new suggested title is 'Employers' Perceptions of the Performance of BS Mathematics Graduates from Eastern Philippines University.'</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract could be more concise. Focus on key findings and their implications rather than detailing the methodology. Eg: Employers' feedback on BS Mathematics graduates' performance is crucial for curriculum relevance. This study surveyed 68 employers, mainly in academia, revealing that 86% of performance attributes sometimes exceeded expectations. Recommendations include enhancing soft skills through industry collaboration.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>It is not properly organized. The correct structure should consist of an abstract (including keywords), introduction, literature review, methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>- The technical sentence in the objective section can be improved to "This study aimed to obtain employers' feedback on the performance of College of Science graduates from 2018 to 2020 and determine the attributes that are significantly valued by their employers".</p> <p>- The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness for several reasons. First, the research methodology is clearly articulated, employing quantitative descriptive research with a substantial sample size of 68 respondents, which enhances the reliability of the findings. Additionally, the performance ratings and importance ratings are systematically presented and analysed, showing a strong correlation between the two, which underscores the graduates' competencies in various skills as perceived by their employers. Moreover, the study's conclusions are well-supported by the data, indicating that BS Mathematics graduates generally meet or exceed employer expectations, thereby validating the study's objectives and outcomes.</p> <p>-</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Some of the references are out of date. Recent articles published within the last five years should be used to critically analyse the existing literature. In addition, the references are poorly organized and too few.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Ensure consistent use of tense and improve grammatical accuracy. Additionally, avoid repetition of ideas. However, the English language used is acceptable and can be understood by the readers.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Overall, this paper presents an interesting and relevant study on employers' feedback regarding the competencies of BS Mathematics graduates from the College of Science at the University of Eastern Philippines. However, several areas need significant improvement to enhance the clarity, rigor, and overall contribution of the study.</p>	

**ReviewForm3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dziatul Nadiah Bt Dzia-Uddin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia</b>