Growth, development, yield attributes and yield of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) as influenced by doses and methods of nitrogen application

Abstract

A field experiment was carried out at School of Natural Resource Management, College of Post Graduate Studies in Agricultural Sciences, CAU, Umiam, Meghalaya during summer season 2021 to study the effect of different doses and application methods of nitrogen on the growth, yield and yield attributes of Frenchbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). The experiment comprised of four doses of nitrogen *viz.,*0, 30, 60, 90 kg ha^{-1} and two methods of its application i.e., full dose as nitrogen as basal application and 2/3 of nitrogen dose as basal and 1/3 of nitrogen dose split application at active branching stage. The experiments consist of a total of 7 treatments laid out in Randomized Block Design with four replications. Growth parameters such as plant height and number of branches per plant in frenchbean showed a significant increase at all the growth stages with increase in nitrogen doses. Maximum plant height (39.35 cm) and number of branches per plant (3.56) were observed with application of 90 kg N ha^{-1}. The grain yield (762.32 kg ha^{-1}) was observed with application of 90 kg N ha^{-1} whereas, lowest grain yield was observed in control (146.25 kg ha^{-1}). Yield attributes were observed to follow the same trend with highest number of pods per plant as 5.44, number of seeds per pod as observed significantly more values of all the above said parameters of growth and yield of frenchbeanin comparison to full dose of nitrogen as basal alone. Therefore, the finding of this experiment suggest that the frenchbean should be grown with 90 kg N ha^{-1} and 2/3 of this dose should be applied as basal application while remaining 1/3 should be applied as top dressing at active branching stage for better growth and yield of frenchbean.

Keywords: Nitrogen, basal, split application, French bean

Introduction

"Frenchbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.)is one of the main leguminous crops grown in the North Eastern region. French bean is an important nutritive legume having 22.25% protein in grain and 1-2.4% in green pods. It supplies 1.7 g protein, 50 mg calcium, 28 mg phosphorus, 1.7 mg iron, 132 mg carotene, 0.08 mg thiamine, 0.06 mg riboflavin, 24 mg vitamin C per 100 g. of edible pods. It is widely cultivated in the temperate, sub-tropical and tropical regions with the optimum mean temperature for French bean is 20-25ºC for its growth and better productivity" (Dhakal *et al.*, 2020). It is grown as pods and seeds in NER all through the spring and summer. It grows most effectively in moderate climates. In Meghalaya, French bean is mostly grown in regions of West Khasi hills, East Khasi hills, and Ri-Bhoi district.

"It does not nodulate with native rhizobia *i.e.* nitrogen-fixing bacteria (*Rhizobium phaseoli*) like other leguminous crops, so it requires nitrogen fertilizer as non-leguminous crops. Nitrogen is the most essential nutrient in plant as it is associated with all vital processes. It has more influence on crop growth, production, and quality than any other nutrient" (Ganeshamurthy*et al.*, 2017). "Judicious use of N ensures great harvest with better quality. Nitrogen is an essential constituent of protein, chlorophyll present in major portions of plants.It plays most important role in various physiological processes. Imparts dark-green color in plants, promotes growth and development of leaves, stem and other vegetative parts. Itincreases the uptake of phosphorus, potassium and other nutrients" (Bloom,2015; Hemerly, 2016). "Nitrogen deficiency constraints leaf area expansion, enhances leaf senescence inhibits photosynthetic rate in most of the crops and consequently reduces the crop productivity" (Machler *et al.,* 1988 and Wolfe *et al.,*1988). So, there is a need for application of nitrogenous fertilizers in proper amount for plant growth and development. Keeping this in view the present investigation is taken out find out the effect of basal and split doses of nitrogen on growth and yield of frenchbean.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out at School of Natural Resource Management, College of Post Graduate Studies in Agricultural Sciences, CAU, Umiam, Meghalaya during summer season 2021. The experiment was conducted with four different doses of nitrogen *viz.,* 0, 30, 60 and 90 kg $ha^{-1}$with two application method *i.e.,* Full basal, $2/3^{rd}$ as basal+$1/3^{rd}$ as top dressing after one month of sowing (at branching) with a total of 7 treatments in Randomizes Block Design with four replications. The unit plot size was 3 m X 3 m ($9m^2$). The treatments were T_0: control, T_1: 30 kg N ha^{-1} as basal, T_2: 60 kg N ha^{-1} as basal, T_3: 90 kg N ha^{-1}as basal, T_4: 20 kg N ha^{-1} as basal + 10 kg as top dressing, T_5: 40 kg N as basal + 20 kg as top dressing, T_6: 60 kg N ha^{-1} as basal + 30 kg as top dressing.Frenchbeanvariety Selection 9 selected as test crop for the experiment.Phosphorus applied in the form of single super phosphate, potassium applied in the form of murate of potash as basal dose and nitrogen is applied in the form of urea as basal doses and top dressing. All other agronomic management practices were followed as per recommendation.

Results and Discussion

Plant height:

Plant height is an observable parameter that can differentiate with treatment response. The data related to plant height in mentioned in table 1revealed that there is an increase in plant height with different growth stages *i.e.,* 20, 40, 60 DAS and at maturity. Significantly highest plant was observed with split application of 90 Kg N ha^{-1} ($2/3^{rd}$ as basal (60 kg N ha^{-1})+ $1/3^{rd}$ as split(30 kg N ha^{-1})) in all the growth stages. Lowest plant height was observed in control treatments as 11.50 cm at 20 DAS, 28.33 cm at 40 DAS, 32.78 cm at 60 DAS and 33.53 cm at maturity respectively. Where as significantly highest plant height was observed as 14.88 cm at 20 DAS, 36.24 cm at 40 DAS, 37.65 cm at 60 DAS and 39.35 cm at maturity respectively. There is an increase in plant height in different growth stages of frenchbean with increase in nitrogen doses as basal, split and combinedapplication of basal and split respectively. Application of N increases size of cells, meristematic activities and formation and function of protoplasm, which consequently increases crop growth. This could be attributed to the fact that basal application of N supported vegetative growth while split application balanced the vegetative growth (Kushwaha, 1994). Similar results were obtained by Srinivas and Naik (1988) and Chandra *et al*. (1987) in frenchbean.

Number of branches per plant

The data presented in Table 1shows that there is an increase in number of branches per plant with advancement of growth stages. Lowest number of branches were observed in control treatment in 20 DAS (1.25), 40 DAS (1.72), 60 DAS (2.12) and at maturity stage (2.59). There is a significant increase in number of branches per plant in fertilized treatments when compared to control treatment. With, increase in nitrogen doses there is a significant increase in number of branches per plant. Significantly highest number of branches were observed application of 90 kg N ha^{-1} as 2.56, 2.96, 3.66, 3.56 at 20, 40, 60 DAS and maturity respectively.There is a significant increase in number of branches per plant with 2/3rd basal+ 1/3rd split application when compared to basal dosesat 20, 60 DAS and at maturity. Whereas, they are at par with each other in 40 DAS. Nitrogen enhanced vegetative growth and development of plants which ultimately increased the number of branches per plant (Addow *et al.,* 2020). Similar findings were reported by Sanjaichaudhry (2009) and Lad *et al.* (2014) in frenchbean.

Yield attributes and yield:

The data on grain yield (kg ha^{-1}), stover yield (kg ha^{-1}), Biological yield (kg ha^{-1}), Harvest index (%) and protein yield (kg ha^{-1}) as influenced by doses and split application of N were recorded at harvest and presented in table. And the data on yield attributes of frenchbean viz. No. of pods plant^{-1}, pod length (cm), number of grains pod^{-1}, test weight (g), pod weight (g plant^{-1}), grain weight (g plant^{-1}) and shelling percentage as influenced by doses and split application of N were recorded at harvest and are presented in Table 2 and 3.

Number of pods per plant and number of seeds per pod increased with increase in nitrogen doses. Lowest number of pods per plant (2.75) and number of seeds per pod (4) were observed in control. Significantly highest number of pods per plant (5.44) and number of seeds per pod (7.89) were observed with application of 90 kg N ha^{-1}. There is significant increase in number of pods per plant (6.13), number of seeds per pod (6.92) in fertilized plot when compared to control. Significant increase was observed in 2/3rd basal dose +1/3rd split application (8.44, 9.78) over basal dose (7.89, 8.67) for number of pods per plant and number of seeds per pod respectively as mentioned in table 2. Adequate availability of nitrogen at the stage of tissue differentiation from somatic to reproductive phase, leading to enhanced meristematic activity and development of floral primordial is self-explanatory for greater number of flower production with later develop into pods (Hedge and Srinivas, 1989). Similar observations were also recorded by Biswas *et al*. (2020); Lad *et al.* (2014).

The data pertaining to seed yield (kg ha^{-1}) presented in table 3 shows that with increase in nitrogen doses there is an increase in seed yield. Significantly highest seed yield was obtained with application of 90 kg N ha^{-1} as 762.32 kg ha^{-1}. Whereas, lowest seed yield was observed in control as 146.25 kg ha^{-1}. There is significant difference in fertilized treatments (641.39 kg ha^{-1}) when compared to control treatment. Significant difference in seed yield was also observed with 2/3rd basal dose +1/3rd split as 905.72 kg ha^{-1} over basal dose as 804.65 kg ha^{-1}. Manivannan *et al.* (2009) the yield and harvest index increased with nutrient levels might be due to the direct role of nitrogen to seed growth and indirectly help in accommodating osmotic imbalances present during final stage of seed filling. Higher seed yield may be due to better expression of growth and yield parameters through higher number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod and pod length (cm). The increased crop growth might be ensued to yield components and finally the seed yield. Moreover, the split application of nitrogen, if applied at right stage might improve the nitrogen use efficiency besides increased grain yield. The results are in close agreement with those reported by Reddy *et al.* (2010) and Shubhashree*et al.* (2011). Ali *et al.* (2015) the French bean responded good to the increase doses of fertilizers. These increases in the yield component may be the result of better utilization of NPK which resulted in increased biosynthesis of the photosynthates and ultimately the yield. Kakon *et al.* (2016) reported that with increase in nitrogen doses there is increase in seed yield of frenchbean. Similar results were also obtained by Basnet *et al.* (2022) and Mourya and Kushwah (2018).

Protein yield is the product of grain yield and protein content. Relatively higher protein content coupled with significantly high grain yield was the reason for high protein yield with greater N doses and its split application. Chaudhry (2009) and Shahid *et al.* (2015) also observed similar trend in grain protein yield due to similar reasons.

Conclusion:

From the present investigation it is concluded that application of 90 kg N ha^{-1} resulted in better growth, yield and yield attributes. Application of 2/3rd basal dose and 1/3rd split dose of nitrogen fertilizer was superior over basal dose nitrogen dose.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc. have been used during the writing or

editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1. No AI generative technologies are used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

2.

3.

References:

Addow, M.A., Hassan, A.A., Adde, M.S.N., Noor, A.A., and Kabir, Md. A. (2020). Effect of nitrogen and potassium on the growth, yield and yield contributing traits of frenchbean. *J. Agric. Vet. Sci.*, 13(4): 01-12.

Ali, Q.S., Zeb, S., Jamil, E., Ahmed, N., Sajid, M., Siddique, S., Jan, N., and Shahid, M. (2015). Effect of various levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash on the yield of French Bean. *Pure Appl. Biol.*, 4(3): 318-322.

Basnet, D.B., Basnet, K.B., and Acharya, P. (2022). Influence of nitrogen level on growth pattern and yield performance of frenchbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) in Nepal. *Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol.* 10(1): 31-40.

Biswas, S., Banerjee, A., Acharyya, P., and Chakraborty, N. (2020). Response of frenchbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. cv. Arka Arjun) to Rhizobium inoculation under varied levels of nitrogen and molybdenum. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 9(3): 2759-2767.

Bloom, A.J. (2015). The increasing importance of distinguishing among plant nitrogen sources. *Curr. Opinion Plant Pathology*, 25: 10-16.

Chandra, R., Rajput, C.B.S., Singh, K.P., and Singh, S.J.P. (1987). A note on the effect of nitrogen, phosphorous and Rhizobium culture of the growth and yield of frenchbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cv. Contender. *Haryana J. Horti. Sci.*, 16: 146-147.

Chaudhry, S. (2009). Growth and yield of frenchbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) as influenced by different levels of row spacing, seed rate and nitrogen. *Int. J. Agric. Sci.*, 5(2): 549-551.

Dhakal, M., Shrestha, S.L., Gautam, I.P., Pandey, S. (2020). Evaluation of French Bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) Varieties for Summer Season Production in the Mid–Hills of Central Region of Nepal. *Nepalese Horti.*,14: 48-55.

Ganeshamurthy, A.N., Rupa, T.R., Kalaivanan, D. and Radha, T.K. (2017). Nitrogen Management Paradigm in Horticulture Systems in India. The Indian Nitrogen Assessment, ScienceDirect, Pp. 133-147. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-811836-8.00009-4.

Hedge, D.M. and Srinivas, K. (1989). Optimization of nutrient management in field crops. *Indian J. Agron.*, 34(2): 180-184.

Hemerly, A. (2016). Genetic controls of biomass increase in sugarcane by association with beneficial nitrogen-fixing bacter", In plant and animal genome XXIV conference. Plant and Animal Genome.

Kakon, S.S., Bhuiya, M.S.U., Hossain, S.M.A., Naher, Q., and Bhuiyan, MD.D.H. (2016). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorous on growth and seed yield of frenchbean. *Bangladesh J. Agril. Res.*, 41(4): 759-772.

Kushwaha, B.L. (1991). Response of winter frenchbean at varying levels of nitrogen and phosphorus in north Indian plains. *Indian J. Pulses Res.*, 4:217-218.

Kushwaha, B.L. (1994). Response of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) to nitrogen application in north Indian plains. *Indian J Agron.*, 39: 34-37.

Lad, N.G., Patange, M.J., and Dhage, S.J. (2014). Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorous levels on growth, yield attributing characters, yield and economics of Frenchbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 3(12): 822-827.

Machler, F., Oberson, A., Grub, A., and Nosberger, J. (1988). Regulation of photosynthesis in nitrogen deficient wheat seedlings. *Plant Physiol.*, 87:46-49.

Manivannan, S., Balamurugan, M., Parthasarathi, K., Gunasekaran, G., and Ranganathan, L.S. (2009). Effect of vermicompost on soil fertility and crop productivity-beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). *J. Environ. Biol.*, 30(2): 275-281.

Massingnam, A.M., Chapman, S.C., Hammer, G.L., and Fukai, S. (2009). Physiological determinants of maize and sunflower achene yield as affected by nitrogen supply. *Field Crops Res.*, 113: 256-267.

Mourya, B.S. and Kushwah, S.S. (2018). Effect of nitrogen levels on growth, yield, seed quality and economics of frenchbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) varieties. *Indian J.*, 6(1): 27-31.

Reddy, M.M., Padmaja, B., and Reddy, D.R.R. (2010). Response of frenchbean to irrigation schedules and nitrogen levels in Telangana region of Andhra Pradesh. *Indian. J. Plant Res.*, 23(1): 38-40.

Shahid, M., Malik, A. A., Rehman, A., Khan, M. S. and Zakaria. (2015). Effect of various levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash on the yield of frenchbean. *J. Nat. Sci. Res.*, 5(11): 50-52.

Shubhashree, K.S., Alagundagi, S.C., Hiremath, S.M., Chittapur, B.M., Hebsur, N.S., and Patil, B.C. (2011). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on growth, yield and economics of rajmah (*Phaseolus *vulgaris*). *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 24(3): 283 – 285.

Srinivas, K. and Naik, L. B. (1988) Response of vegetable French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.*, 58(9):70-77.

Srnivas, K. and Rao, J.V. (1984). Response of frenchbean to nitrogen and phosphorous. *Indian J. Agron.*, 29: 146-149.

Ullah, M.A., Anwar, M., and Rana, A.S. (2010). Effect of nitrogen fertilization and harvesting intervals on the yield and forage quality of elephant grass (*Pennisetum purpurium* L.) under mesic climate of pothowar plateau. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, 47: 231-234.

Wolfe, D.W., Henderson, D.W., Hsiao, T.C., and Alvino, A. (1988). Interactive water and nitrogen effects of senescence of maize II. Photosynthesis and longevity of individual leaves. *Agron. J.*, 80: 865-870.

Table 1. Effect of doses and split application of nitrogen on plant height and branches plant^{-1} in frenchbean

	Plant height				**Branches plant^{-1}**			
Treatments	20 DAS	40 DAS	60DAS	Harvest	20 DAS	40 DAS	60 DAS	Harvest
Control vs fertilized								
Control	11.50	28.33	32.78	33.53	1.25	1.72	2.12	2.59
Fertilized	14.35	33.60	37.08	37.85	2.01	2.62	3.17	3.24
S.E. (m)	0.24	0.90	0.67	0.48	0.04	0.08	0.10	0.08
C.D (p=0.05)	0.71	2.59	1.98	1.43	0.11	0.23	0.31	0.23
Doses of N								
30	13.80	30.53	35.29	36.19	1.49	2.37	2.81	2.93
60	14.36	34.03	38.29	38.01	1.98	2.53	3.05	3.23
90	14.88	36.24	37.65	39.35	2.56	2.96	3.66	3.56
S.E. (m)	0.22	0.81	0.62	0.45	0.04	0.07	0.10	0.07
C.D (P=0.05)	0.66	2.40	1.83	1.32	0.11	0.21	0.28	0.21
Split application								
Basal	14.04	33.54	37.36	37.28	1.87	2.57	3.01	3.13
2/3 basal + 1/3 as split	14.65	33.65	36.79	38.42	2.15	2.67	3.34	3.35
S. E. (m)	0.18	0.66	0.50	0.36	0.03	0.06	0.08	0.06
C.D (p=0.05)	0.54	1.96	1.50	1.08	0.09	0.17	0.23	0.18

Table 2. Effect of doses and split application of nitrogen on yield attributeds in frenchbean

Treatments	No. of pods plant^{-1}	Pod length (cm)	No. of grains pod^{-1}	Test weight	Pod weight Plant^{-1}	Grain weight plant^{1}	Shelling %
Control vs Fertilized							
Control	2.75	11.03	4.00	225.80	4.02	0.60	10.05
Fertilized	6.13	13.13	6.92	245.43	8.98	4.65	43.41
S.E. (m) ±	0.22	0.32	0.52	4.82	0.50	0.11	4.06
C.D (p=0.05)	0.66	0.96	1.55	14.31	1.47	0.34	12.06
Doses of N							
30	4.89	10.42	4.33	231.83	5.06	2.58	19.93
60	6.00	11.78	6.22	250.39	7.98	2.88	29.28
90	5.44	12.82	7.89	254.06	10.91	6.94	66.55
S.E. (m)	0.21	0.30	0.48	4.46	0.46	0.11	3.76
C.D (p=0.05)	0.61	0.89	1.43	13.25	1.36	0.31	11.16
Split application							
Basal	5.92	12.75	6.50	246.79	8.77	4.07	42.01
2/3rd as basal + 1/3rd at branching	6.33	13.32	7.33	244.06	9.19	5.23	44.80
S.E. (m)	0.17	0.24	0.39	3.64	0.37	0.09	3.07
C.D (p=0.05)	NS	0.61	NS	NS	NS	0.26	NS

Table 3. Effect of doses and split application of nitrogen on yield in frenchbean

Treatments	Biological yield (kg ha^{-1})	Stover yield (kg ha^{-1})	Grain yield (kg ha^{-1})	Harvest index	Protein content in seed (%)	Protein yield (kg ha^{-1})
Control vs Fertilized						
Control	520.9	510.90	191.25	29.16	20.78	39.77
Fertilized	1716.7	1084.31	647.25	37.48	22.17	143.33
S.E. (m) ±	105.4	93.00	19.36	1.93	0.23	4.40
C.D (p=0.05)	313.1	276.33	57.52	5.73	0.69	13.08
Doses of N						
30	1117.5	756.7	405.4	35.23	22.52	91.36
60	1788.6	1109.9	678.8	38.52	21.58	146.23
90	2444.0	1386.4	857.6	38.70	22.42	192.39
S.E. (m) ±	97.5	86.10	17.92	1.78	0.22	4.08
C.D (p=0.05)	289.8	255.83	53.25	NS	NS	12.11
Split application						
Basal	1566.3	979.78	615.20	38.34	22.12	136.39
2/3rd as basal + 1/3rd at branching	1868.13	1188.83	679.29	36.63	22.23	150.27
S.E. (m)±	79.6	70.30	14.63	1.46	0.18	3.33
C.D (*p*=0.05)	236.6	208.88	43.48	NS	NS	9.89