

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122579
Title of the Manuscript:	Antifungal potential of macro-algae against <i>Alternaria solani</i> causing early leaf blight in tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Research Paper

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research article is important for the scientific community. This study is an attempt to understand the antifungal potential of macro-algae against <i>alternaria solani</i> causing early leaf blight in tomato (<i>solanum lycopersicum</i> l.)	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is scientifically correct. It includes an abstract, keywords, introduction, materials and methods, tables and figures, results and discussion, conclusion and references. Data are properly elaborated in tables and figures with proper explanations. Some minor corrections are highlighted in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good quality English.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hridesh Harsha Sarma
Department, University & Country	Assam Agricultural University, India