

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_121200</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Design and Simulation Analysis of a Modular Parallel Four-Bar Linkage Robotic Arm</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript addresses a pertinent issue in robotic arm design by focusing on a modular parallel four-bar linkage system. While the study has potential, its significance is diminished by several factors, including a lack of novel insights and insufficient exploration of existing literature. The manuscript would benefit from a more in-depth comparison with current technologies and an explicit discussion on the innovative aspects of the proposed design. The potential impact on the field is not adequately demonstrated.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title accurately reflects the content of the manuscript but could be more descriptive regarding the specific contributions of the study. A revised title might be: "Design and Simulation of an Innovative Modular Four-Bar Linkage Robotic Arm: Analysis and Applications."</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract provides a basic overview but lacks detailed information on the study's findings and implications. It should include a summary of the key results and their significance to the field. Additionally, incorporating specific details about the simulation results and their practical relevance would strengthen the abstract.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript's structure requires significant improvement. The current organization of sections and subsections is disjointed and does not facilitate a clear understanding of the research. The results section is particularly lacking, as it fails to provide a coherent analysis of the data. Consider restructuring the manuscript to ensure a logical flow of information and clearer presentation of results and discussions.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript's structure requires significant improvement. The current organization of sections and subsections is disjointed and does not facilitate a clear understanding of the research. The results section is particularly lacking, as it fails to provide a coherent analysis of the data. Consider restructuring the manuscript to ensure a logical flow of information and clearer presentation of results and discussions.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The references are outdated and do not adequately cover recent advancements in the field. The manuscript needs a comprehensive literature review to establish the research context and demonstrate an understanding of current trends and technologies. Incorporating more recent studies would strengthen the manuscript and provide a more robust foundation for the proposed research.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript suffers from numerous grammatical errors and unclear phrasing, which hinder the readability and professionalism of the text. A comprehensive revision is needed to address these issues and improve the clarity and quality of the language. The manuscript should be proofread by a native English speaker or a professional editor to meet the standards of scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The manuscript would benefit from additional visual aids, such as detailed diagrams and schematics of the modular linkage system, to enhance comprehension and engagement.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> A more detailed discussion on the practical applications and potential impact of the proposed design is necessary to highlight its relevance and contributions to the field.</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Doaa Mahmood Badr Alsamee</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kufa University, Iraq</b>