

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_122338
Title of the Manuscript:	India's Tea Export Potential: Stirring Up Global Trade Opportunities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Understanding India's Tea Export potential is important in the landscape of global economy as Tea export contributes largely to many countries' export. India's contribution is important as it's one of the major producer of various kinds of teas. The export helps maintain trade balance with other countries and plays a crucial role in the economic global powerplay. This manuscript targets that topic pretty well and covers well.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it's lengthy enough to convey succinct and clear idea of India's Tea export potential and how it can open up global opportunities	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the structure is clear and coherent	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript tackles the research topic scientifically, first briefly explains the tea export potential and market opportunities. It then follows to present hypothesis and what can prove them right or wrong. The authors also added various model to test validity and explains them well. It then shows the results and explains what they mean	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mesbaul Haque Sazu
Department, University & Country	Case Western Reserve University, United States of America