

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_122338
Title of the Manuscript:	India's Tea Export Potential: Stirring Up Global Trade Opportunities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	subject of economic and nutritional importance. It gives a global view of the production and export of tea in the world. Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) and Revealed Symmetric Comparative Advantage (RSCA) indices are important to assess competitiveness, revealing an upward trend in India's comparative advantage.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it's	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes they are	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	by the working methodology and the parameters used (Competiveness indices, Markov chain analysis), the subject is of scientific strength in relation to its economic scope. Even with the number of countries compared to India, the results give more value to this research	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The scientific references are neither numerous nor recent. Please always use the latest and greatest	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It's ok	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leblalta Amina
Department, University & Country	Ferhat Abbas Sétif 1, Algeria