

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_122326</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of basal phosphorus (P2O5) application and foliar molybdenum (Mo) spraying on the growth, development, and yield of DVN11 soybean</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	By elucidating the specific interactions between these two key nutrients, the study contributes to a deeper understanding of optimal fertilization strategies for soybean cultivation. The findings have the potential to inform best practices in agricultural management, enhancing crop productivity and sustainability. Furthermore, this research could serve as a foundation for future studies exploring nutrient synergies in other crop varieties, thereby broadening its relevance to the scientific community.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes with some modifications</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Yes. No suggestions.</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Specifically mention the discussion part or avoid the explanation in the result portion which is already given in the table</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Discussion part should be written in more detail</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>References should be specifically cited in the manuscript itself. More recent references can also be included.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
	Please go through the article for track changes comments	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Megha P. S.</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, India</b>