

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122610
Title of the Manuscript:	Rearing performances of different eco-races of eri silkworm (<i>Samia ricini</i> Boisd.) in Jorhat, Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As this Research Topic is valid that utilizes the multifaceted Eco-Races nature of Silk worm. It gives in brief Eri- Silk worm performances where it implies environmental influences, diverse geographic regions, as applied in culture of Assam. Emphasis should be given on the objectives, Research Methods and how this study will benefit the society as a whole in more details. Please add points in details with limitations of the study. Furthermore, please explore the study in terms of ethical issues.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	PLEASE CHANGE THE TITLE AS: Looking at the aspect of grounding performances of different Eco-Races of Eri Silkworm: Jorhat, Assam.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please add the objectives of the study and need in the abstract. 2. Add words like in contrast to, however. Please change the vocabulary in a better usage. 3. Please conclude the abstract properly stating the overall emphasis of study. 4. Please mention the sampling methods used in study to ensure the reliability of data used. Please be more specific. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Please mention the sub-sections and structure should be well-organized. It is not appropriately numbered. It should be in an appropriate format.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Results and findings are appropriate to the topic mentioned in a coherent manner. Data collected and mentioned in details is but should define the table in the middle of the paper. Data is valid and appropriate. But, lacking a pie chart or more detailed presentation .It should be in a form of pictorial representation with more detailed explanations. It should be in a logical order.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the researcher has defined the references but it should be in a specified format. Please add footnotes clearly to make it more appropriate.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is a scope for enhancement of the language and words used. You can use words like In concordance to, furthermore, however, to conclude, finally overall in a logical structure.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall, the paper is valid. It can be enhanced with more focus on the objectives, Research Gap, Literature Review, limitation as well as how the society as a whole will contribute. Furthermore, add sections and sub-sections in a logical format. Please add your own views you have study it from various data please put in your own language. Please add pictorial presentation with full explanation of the data used.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sonia Chadha
Department, University & Country	G.D. Goenka University, India