

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122610
Title of the Manuscript:	Rearing performances of different eco-races of eri silkworm (<i>Samia ricini</i> Boisd.) in Jorhat, Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Theselection of silkworm races suitable for particular location is very important for cocoon production. Therefore, screening of suitable race is of prime need for the upliftment of the sericulture industry.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	the title of the article Is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the abstract of the article Is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	subsections and structure of the manuscript Are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-The research deals with the development of silk production in an area interested in silkworm breeding - The research meets the scientific writing method - The research is a follow-up to previous research in the same context	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	the references Are sufficient and recent, But it needs to be rewritten in scientific form.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	the language/English quality of the article Is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Make the required modifications.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Ali Alallan
Department, University & Country	Syrian Arab Republic

Review Form 3