

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_122505</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Tissue culture in banana cultivation: A review of its impact on disease management, yield improvement, and sustainable production</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The abstract start with strong sentences to convincing the leaders but it seems to have missed a bit of methodology guiding the leaders on what the authors intended to solve. It has taken a bog chunk of the introduction. Please rework on the abstract	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes no comments	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract start with strong sentences to convincing the leaders but it seems to have missed a bit of methodology guiding the leaders on what the authors intended to solve. It has taken a big chunk of the introduction. Please rework on the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>On introduction part , please use my example to rewrite the introduction to show the readers why banana is important crop</p> <p>Banana is part of the staple diet in..... and one of the ten main food crops in the..... . In ....., most of the bananas (over ..... per cent) are grown in the..... and ..... regions. Other regions or country ..... producing a significant number of bananas are ..... The global export value of the banana trade was estimated ..... billion US dollar ..... with a retail value standing between ..... billion ..... dollars annually. <i>Try funnely shape in your introduction start globally then down to region to make your introduction soung</i></p>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Methodology section is missing, the work shows introduction and literature review, please tell us how you reached to the source why some years are not included in your work. Be the owner of the work and teach us (Unless if you have a sound reason why you have decided to use the old source	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Too old source, please work on references, you mean no information to support your work from year 2024 back to 2010	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	On the introduction part please rewrite this sentence, <i>Traditionally, suckers are used as a beginning material while growing bananas. The plants are not uniform and the banana crops are produced vegetatively in the field [16].</i>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript need revision it is important before being published	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tumaini Steven Thomas</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The Open University of Tanzania, Tanzania</b>