

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JABB_121886 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Development and quality evaluation of RTC Banana pseudo stem vermicelli upma mix |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>Banana pseudo stem has various health benefits. It is rich source of fiber, low <i>glycaemic</i> index which helps in weight loss and controlling diabetes due its nutritional fiber. The overall acceptability of T₂ and T₃ was between moderately acceptable range (7.1 to 7.75) and T₄ was between slightly acceptable range (6.1) because as the percentage of incorporation of BPS flour increases in the vermicelli adversely affected the texture, taste, colour, overall acceptability and also affected cooking and organoleptic properties with increase in solid loss.</p> | |
| <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> | <p>yes</p> | |
| <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p> | <p>Yes</p> | |
| <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> | <p>Yes! But I have some suggestion in the manuscript.</p> | |
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>The work presented is relevant and in accordance with the scope of the journal. My contributions were significant regarding sensory evaluation and shelf life. The work needs to be revised, especially the formatting (lack of spaces and standardization). After the corrections, I suggest that it is suitable for publication</p> | |
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p> | <p>Some articles can be cited in this manuscript, like: Dutcosky, Silvia Deboni <i>Análise sensorial de alimentos / Silvia Deboni Dutcosky. 5. ed., rev. – Curitiba : PUCPRESS, 2019. 540 p. ; 23 cm. (Coleção Exatas ; v. 4</i> FERREIRA, THALES HENRIQUE BARRETO; FREITAS, M. L. F. . Production, physical, chemical and sensory evaluation of dried banana (<i>Musa Cavendish</i>). <i>Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture</i>, v. 31, p. 102-108, 201</p> | |
| <p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes</p> | |
| <p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p> | | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Thales Henrique Barreto Ferreira |
| Department, University & Country | Federal University of Grande Dourados, Brazil |