

## Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_120169
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenobarbitone Toxicity: Management of acute and chronic Overdose
Type of the Article	Case report

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorin.com/index.php/AJORRIN/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.8

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Alignment of the manuscript is not proper and not aligned.</li><li>2. Add paragraph for the chemical background of barbiturate with the chemical structure.</li><li>3. Check the units of blood pressure in case study-1 it should be <b>mm/Hg</b>.</li><li>4. Add flow chart after every case study to describe in a short format.</li><li>5. Add some latest reference in the manuscript.</li><li>6. Add prope conclusion to brief description of the case studies.</li></ol>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.8**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ram Kumar
Department, University & Country	India