

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_122496
Title of the Manuscript:	MULTIPLE HOLLOW VISCUS PERFORATION CAUSED BY FOREIGN BODY - A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This case highlight the importance of careful exploration of the abdomen in these special groups of patients i.e. children and mentally challenged patients. With inadequate history to suggest any specific possible pathology to target, a thorough exploration is mandatory to ensure multiple pathologies not be missed as in this case of multiple perforations caused by different foreign bodies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Wrong spelling of 'Foreingn' with grammatical errors (perforation<u>S</u>, foreign bod<u>IES</u>) 2. Suggest highlighting the challenge of handling psychiatry patient in this case. For example: Multiple Hollow Viscus Perforations caused by Multiple Foreign Bodies in a Psychiatric Patient 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Suggest adding details on the exploration findings i.e. on exploration, there was a perforation in the second part of duodenum caused by an sharp object (pen refill) and another perforation at the sigmoid colon caused by a blunt beaded object.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Acceptable. However, it can be improved with the use of better medical term (radiograph vs. X Ray), avoid short forms (intravenous vs. i.v.), proper editing of the images (rather than using power slide with presentation style of labelling) and consistent format of referencing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the references are NOT recent.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Multiple spelling and grammatical errors.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Conclusion can be improved by mentioning the challenge in the clinical judgment and assessment in psychiatric patient rather than the issue of multidisciplinary approach i.e. only surgical decision is important in this case as the attending doctor at the presentation, the presence of foreign body was not supported by radiologist (although the plain radiograph should be reviewed again to see any opacities of the foreign bodies) and psychiatrist involvement only for continuation of care.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Yusran Bin Othman
Department, University & Country	Hospital Tunku Azizah (Kuala Lumpur Women and Children Hospital), Malaysia