

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_121973
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VIVO STUDY OF BITTER LEAF ( <i>Vernonia amygdalina</i> ) PLANT ON THE REMEDIATION OF THE CYTOLOGICAL AND PHYSIOLOGICAL DAMAGES ON WISTER RAT (ALBINO RAT) CAUSED BY <i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	After recent Pandemic the world faced, the importance of anti-microbial agents is accepted by all. Similarly considering the limitations and ill effects of chemically derived medicines, herbs and herbal remedies are gaining all the attention. The manuscript is well written. It helps to introduce one such herb to scientific research community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but it could be constructive(IMRD), as it lacks Introduction part.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The current manuscript explains an in vivo study. As per my view the experimental studies are the basis for clinical studies or new formulations. The study not only proves the anti- bacterial potential of plant but also more importantly its preventive effect on body.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes , references are sufficient.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used in manuscript is good. Clear and to the point.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Nil	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Prajakta Arun Patel
Department, University & Country	Smt. K. C. Ajmera Ayurved Mahavidyalaya, India