

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_122474</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CONSTRAINTS FACED BY THE TURMERIC FARMERS IN ERODE DISTRICT OF TAMIL NADU</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research paper</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ This manuscript offers a valuable contribution to the agricultural and economic research domain by shedding light on the specific challenges faced by turmeric farmers in Erode District, Tamil Nadu.</li> <li>■ By identifying the constraints faced by these farmers, the study provides crucial insights for policymakers, agricultural extension services, and development organizations to formulate targeted interventions.</li> <li>■ Understanding these challenges is essential for developing strategies to enhance turmeric productivity, improve farmers' livelihoods, and strengthen the overall agricultural economy of the region.</li> <li>■ This research can serve as a foundation for further studies exploring potential solutions and policy recommendations.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>It accurately reflects the content of your research. However, I suggest you some more potential titles for your manuscript that focus on specific area you discuss in your manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>“Constraints to Turmeric Farming in Tamil Nadu: A Case Study of Erode District”</b></li> <li>2. <b>“Turmeric Cultivation in Erode District: An Analysis of Farmer Constraints”</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract of the research is fairly comprehensive, covering the key aspects of the study, including the research focus, methodology, main findings, and suggested solutions. However,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Briefly mention the significance of turmeric farming not just in Erode District but in the broader context of Tamil Nadu or India, to give a more complete picture of its economic impact.</li> <li>■ Including a brief mention of the time frame of the study or any specific tools or techniques used during data collection could add depth.</li> <li>■ While the abstract lists several solutions, it might be helpful to specify how these solutions could be practically implemented and what impact they are expected to have.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the sections and subsections of the manuscript are well-organized and appropriate for the topic. The logical flow of information enhances readability, and the division into clear subsections allows for a comprehensive exploration of the subject matter.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ The manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound due to its thorough analysis of the challenges faced by turmeric growers in Erode District.</li> <li>■ The manuscript effectively integrates both qualitative and quantitative data, providing a comprehensive understanding of the issues at hand.</li> <li>■ The practical recommendations offered are well-supported by the data, making the study a valuable contribution to agricultural research and policy development.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p> <p>-</p>	<p>47% references are recent (from 2017-2023). But total 19 references are insufficient for a research paper.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ There is no any reference in an Introduction chapter lack Please, add 4-5 references in introduction chapter.</li> <li>✓ Also add 2 3 references in research methodology. Suggested references are given below:</li> <li>✓ Giuffre, Maureen. "Designing research: Ex post facto designs." <i>Journal of PeriAnesthesia Nursing</i> 12.3 (1997): 191-195.</li> <li>✓ Simon, Marilyn K., and Jim Goes. "Ex post facto research." <i>Retrieved September 25 (2013): 2013.</i></li> </ul>	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, there are a few areas where minor revisions could improve clarity and readability:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ The term "turmeric" is repeated frequently (nearly 72 times). While it's important to emphasize the focus of the study, varying the phrasing slightly could improve the flow.</li> <li>■ In introduction chapter, line no. 10, there's a small typo mistake in "he production volume," which should be "The production volume."</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ In scholarly writing, it is recommended to have a space after each period before starting a new sentence. For example, in "potential causes.The present study..." there should be a space after the period: "potential causes. The present study..."</li> <li>■ In "An Ex-post facto research design was employed," "Ex-post" should not have a capital "E" unless it begins a sentence. It should be "ex-post facto research design."</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Munawar Ali</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Khwaja Fareed University of Engineering and Information Technology, Pakistan</b></p>