

**Review Form 3**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JSRR_122400</b>                              |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>RETURNS MANAGEMENT OF MAIZE AND PADDY SEEDS</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>                   |

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | The article is new and explores the returns management processes in the selected seed firm, particularly for maize and paddy seeds in the Telangana districts of Karimnagar and Nizamabad   |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)   | Suggested to change   |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | The abstract is good  |   |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | Not required  |   |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The author has attempted to study the return management of maize and paddy seeds. But the article lags significant findings. The author is suggested to add few statistical analysis. The paper could be updated with few significant outcomings. |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | The references are insufficient.  |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  |   |   |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | The English quality is ok.  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |   |

**PART 2:**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>S. Vishnu Shankar</b>                         |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India</b> |