

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122400
Title of the Manuscript:	RETURNS MANAGEMENT OF MAIZE AND PADDY SEEDS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very interesting and it adds knowledge for the global community in line with management processes and it has also great importance through providing valuable insights for researchers, policymakers and industry professionals involved in the agricultural supply chain.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes! The abstract is well structured and comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. Very appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Since the manuscript provides basic knowledge in the area of management processes in agricultural sectors and supply chain, it is scientifically robust and technically sound. It also written in very simple understandable English and illustrates the processes by simple diagrams and it is totally acceptable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	No.let the author add five more references	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. It communicates well and sounds good.	
Optional/General comments	Generally, the manuscript is very interesting and let it be accepted with minor revisions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bikila Gebeyehu Eticha
Department, University & Country	Wollega University, Ethiopia