

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_121992
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic component analysis in fruit yield and quality traits in okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> (L.) Moench)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses an important topic in agricultural science, specifically the genetic analysis of fruit yield and quality traits in okra, which is crucial for improving crop performance. However, the text is currently too short, particularly in the introduction section, where additional background information would help to better contextualize the study. Expanding this section with more details on the significance of studying okra genetics and its implications for crop breeding would greatly enhance the manuscript. Additionally, incorporating more and recent citations would strengthen the literature review and demonstrate the study's relevance within the current body of research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is descriptive and effectively communicates the key elements of your research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is concise, highlighting the most important points of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	I suggest adding sub-sections to the article.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates a high level of scientific correctness, as it is grounded in rigorous methodology and supported by well-validated data. However, it would benefit from incorporating additional information from recent relevant literature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The use of the English language was appropriate. I advise revising the manuscript and correcting some grammar and syntax errors.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthoula Gleridou
Department, University & Country	Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece