

Original Research Article

Development of a Robust *In Vitro* Regeneration Protocol in Cassava (*Manihot esculenta*

C.) Using Axillary Bud Explants for Cryopreservation

Abstract

Cassava is an important crop as it is the source of energy in the diet for the most of the tropical countries. Field gene bank is the only viable option for the maintenance of cassava germplasm. Cryopreservation offers the long-term conservation of vegetatively propagates crops without losing its viability through an effective *in vitro* regeneration system. *In vitro* regeneration response in YTP-1 and Sree Athulya genotypes of cassava was standardized through optimization of various factors influencing organogenesis. Among the different media tested, highest shoot induction frequencies of 81.4% and 77.8% were recorded in medium supplemented with 0.5 mg/l BAP + 0.2 mg/l NAA (CMSI 2) for YTP 1 and Sree Athulya respectively in the present study. 3 to 5 multiple shoots from a single shoot apex obtained from 70-80% of explants within 50-60 days. Highest regeneration frequencies of 56.6% and 46.6% were recorded in medium supplemented with 1 mg/l IAA (CRM 2) for YTP1 and Sree Athulya. As a result, an easy *in vitro* regeneration method that is genotype independent was created and may be effectively used to preserve cassava germplasm in the cryogen bank.

Key words: Axillary bud, Cassava, Cryopreservation, *In vitro* regeneration, Shoot apices

INTRODUCTION

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* C.) is an important commercial crop grown in tropical region. It is an important source of energy and food security in the developing countries of the globe to meet out the demand of increasing population (Immanuel et al., 2024). Worldwide, 800 million people depend on cassava as their primary staple food (McCallum et

al., 2017). Cassava was originated in Latin America and the crop was said to be found by the Native Indians around 4000 years ago (Akinpelu et al., 2011). Cassava is an important dietary supplement to the many under developed countries like Brail, Congo, Indonesia. Nigeria produces around 60 Mt of cassava every year consider as the largest cassava producer in the world (FAOSTAT, 2020). International Centre for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT) conserves over 43,000 of diverse set of germplasms collected around the world (CIAT, 2023). Cassava is majorly cultivated in India at an area of 1962.0 m.ha with production of 4095.6 m.t. and productivity of 20.9 Mt/ha. Among the states TamilNadu ranks first in term of production 2603.2 m.t. followed by Kerala and Andhra Pradesh (Horticultural statistics, 2017). Conservation of vegetatively propagated crops such as potato, cassava, yams, sweet potato, sugarcane, coffee, rubber are usually carried out in field gene banks (Rajasekharan and RamanathaRoa, 2019). The advantages of field gene banks are that the material is easily available for utilization, and that evaluation can be undertaken while the material is being conserved (Nair et al., 2017). However, maintenance of clonal gene banks is quite expensive due to increasing cost on land and labour and also presents risks of loss of germplasm through natural calamities and pest and diseases (Aribi, 2024).

As such conditions, *in vitro* culture techniques provide some important tools for improved conservation and management of vegetatively propagated crop species (Sharma et al., 2022). The advantages of *in vitro* conservation are maintenance of material in pathogen-free condition that facilitates safer distribution, conservation of vegetatively propagated plants (Agrawal et al., 2024). Further, the cultures are not subjected to environmental disturbances (Engelmann, 2011). Genetic resources can be preserved *in vitro* in both the short- to medium-term (slow growth) and long-term (cryopreservation) durations (Kaviani, 2011). For the long-term preservation of vegetatively propagated crop species, an *in vitro* regeneration system for the target species should be accessible for cryopreservation (Shankar

et al., 2018). Given the importance of cassava as a commercial crop, breeding research requires a steady supply of cassava germplasm over an extended period of time (Amelework and Bairu, 2022). In order to facilitate the creation of a viable cryo gene bank facility for cassava germplasm on a broad scale, the current study aims to design an effective *in vitro* plant regeneration methodology in cassava employing axillary buds as explants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The tissue culture facility of the Department of Plant Genetic Resources at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University in Coimbatore was the site of the *in vitro* studies. The Tapioca and Castor Research Station, TNAU, Yethapur provided stem cuttings of two genotypes of cassava, YTP-1 and Sree Athulya, which were then planted in the 'F' block of the new area at the Department of Forage Crops, TNAU, Coimbatore. Six rows of cassava stem cuttings were planted, with a 90cm gap between each row. In accordance with the crop production handbook, the suggested agronomic methods and need-based plant protection measures were implemented.

The healthy and actively growing axillary buds of 1.0 cm length with leaf sheaths were excised from two to three months old cassava field grown plants using a sterile blade. The collected buds were washed repeatedly with tap water containing two drops of tween 20. The cleaned buds were taken to the laminar air flow chamber in double distilled water and disinfected with 70% ethanol for 10 seconds followed by washing three times with sterile distilled water. The buds were then surface sterilized with 0.1 % HgCl₂ for six to seven minutes and rinsed four to five times with doubled distilled water to remove the traces of HgCl₂ before excision of shoot apex for *in vitro* culture (**Fig. 1**).

Under laminar air flow cabinet, using the sterile forceps and scalpel, the outer leaf sheaths surrounding the axillary bud were removed first. Subsequently, the shoot apices

explants of 2 mm in size were excised out and placed in the shoot induction medium supplemented with different concentrations of growth hormones (**Fig. 2**). **Table 1** provides specifics of several media compositions investigated for multiple shoot induction and proliferation. The ability of the explants to establish and respond in the shoot induction medium was observed after a month and observations were recorded regularly with sub culturing at 15 days interval. Utilizing the method, the shoot induction % was determined by given formula below.

$$\text{shoot induction (\%)} = \frac{\text{No. of explants with shoots}}{\text{Total no. of explants cultured}} \times 100$$

The individual shoots were taken out and placed in rooting media treated with various combinations of growth hormones after the shoots had grown for two months. **Table 2** lists the medium compositions needed for shoots to root successfully. The regeneration frequency was calculated by using the formula given below.

$$\text{Regeneration frequency (\%)} = \frac{\text{No. of explants with shoots \& roots}}{\text{Total no. of explants cultured}} \times 100$$

Light Emitting Diodes (LED) lights were used to provide continuous lighting and maintain a temperature of $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$. The lights produced a light intensity of 2500 lux, with a photoperiod cycle of 16 hours of light and 8 hours of darkness. Completely Randomized Design (CRD) was used in the studies, and Panse and Sukhatme (1978) recommended technique of statistical analysis was applied to the data. Each treatment was replicated thrice. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was computed using statistical software AGRES.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The success of any cryo-conservation procedures for problem species is purely judged based on the recovery of plantlets after cryo-storage (Babu et al., 2012). The primary

prerequisite for any vegetatively grown crop to be suitable for cryopreservation is that the *in vitro* regeneration mechanism must function adequately for the intended species (Engelmann and Dussert, 2012). The tissue culture approach should make it easier to induce numerous shoots and produce plantlets after the proper explants have been cultured *in vitro*. This could be well achieved through direct organogenesis process which involves no callusing phase thus enabling the regeneration of true to type plants. As the maintenance of genetic integrity of the germplasm is very important during germplasm conservation and regeneration, direct organogenesis would be the method of choice for adoption in the cryo-conservation of vegetatively propagated crops.

The regeneration of plantlets during *in vitro* culture is significantly influenced by a number of parameters, including genotype, explants, the nutritional content of the culture medium, and culture conditions (Bidabadi and Jain, 2020). To successfully achieve *in vitro* regeneration in cassava germplasm, a thorough procedure was developed by first studying the standardization of all these factors. Among these different plant tissues, the shoot apices excised from the growing shoots are widely used for cryo-conservation of vegetatively propagated crops (Gowthami et al., 2024). Shoot tips are the best since they have the capacity to restore a plant and pre-formed meristematic areas that may provide genetic stability (Srivastava et al., 2013). Additionally, a little fragment of material taken from the mother plant can be regarded as germplasm and employed as a storage material since regenerants created during organogenesis should be similar to the mother plant (Osen, 2017). Therefore, shoot apices were selected as explants in the present study. Previously various authors have reported *in vitro* regeneration through shoot apices in cassava (Kantha et al., 1974; Konan et al., 1994; Rodrigues et al., 2010; Mapayi et al., 2013; Cacia et al., 2023). However, because they depend on both genotype and cultivar, the majority of these techniques could not be applied to a broad range of genotypes. As a result, the current work concentrated on creating

a genotype-independent *in vitro* regeneration strategy for cassava utilizing axillary buds as explants and adjusting the culture medium's nutritional content.

In the present study, cassava shoot apices of 2 mm in size were cultured on MS medium supplemented with different concentrations and combinations of BAP, NAA and kinetin for the shoot induction and proliferation. Best multiple shoot formation response was obtained on MS medium supplemented with 0.5 mg/l BAP + 0.2 mg/l NAA invariably in both YTP 1 and SreeAthulya genotypes. The shoot apices were sub cultured once in 15 days and shoot induction was observed after 20 days of inoculation in the shoot induction medium. Raspor et al. (2021) reported that a variety of phytohormone combinations frequently control how shoot organogenesis, or morphogenesis, proceeds. Shoot tips for multiple shoot regeneration were significantly impacted by the kinds and amounts of auxin and cytokine applied. The cytokinin BAP was more effective than kinetin and NAA. Gupta et al. (2020) discussed in detail how various hormone combinations affect the growth and elongation of shoots.

The findings indicated that in YTP1, the shoot induction frequency varied from 15.7% to 81.4%, whereas in Sree Athulya, it ranged from 5.7% to 77.8%. Out of all the examined media, the ones supplemented with 0.5 mg/l BAP + 0.2 mg/l NAA (CMSI 2) for YTP 1 and Sree Athulya had the greatest shoot induction rates, at 81.4% and 77.8%, respectively (**Table. 3**). Shoot elongation was observed after 30 days of inoculation on CMSI 2 medium. 3 to 5 multiple shoots from a single shoot apex obtained from 70-80% of explants within 50-60 days (**Fig. 3**). An average of 4 shoots was formed per explant. During all stages of shoot induction and subsequent multiple shoot development, callus formation was not noticed on this medium. Hemmati et al. (2020) reported that NAA and BAP were important for micropropagation. The use of NAA and BAP growth regulators during shoot growth initiated from meristem culture of different cassava varieties were also reported by Cagai et al. (2023).

The efficiency of rooting in the shooted plantlets was tested by transferring the individual shoots to four different culture media (**Fig. 4**). Rooting of the shoots may be affected by pH, auxin level and nutrient concentration of the rooting media (Khuna et al., 2023). In the present study, rooting was observed after 20 days shoot culture. Rooting regeneration was recorded higher when shoots are cultured in half strength MS medium showed poor performance as compared to cultures in full strength MS medium. According to the data, the range of regeneration frequency for genotype YTP1 was 3.3% to 56.6%, whereas for genotype Sree Athulya, it was 3.3% to 46.6%. Highest regeneration frequencies of 56.6% and 46.6% were recorded in medium supplemented with 1 mg/l IAA (CRM 2) for YTP1 and Sree Athulya. (**Table. 4**). This may be attributed to the fact that auxins stimulate cell elongation and division and rhizogenesis is achieved by treatment with auxin (Cantabella et al., 2022). Genotypes YTP1 and Sree Athulya showed lowest regeneration frequency of 3.3% when inoculated on Half MS medium (CRM 1). Fully established plantlets with typical branches and roots were created after 30 days of cultivation on rooting media, and they were then moved to screen house conditions for additional establishment.

Conclusion

The current work devised a straightforward, genotype-independent in vitro regeneration method employing shoot apex explants. The two genotypes of cassava's regeneration frequencies are equivalent to those found in earlier investigations. As effective recovery could be achieved, this might serve as motivation for the successful deployment of shoot apices as a suitable storage tissue under liquid nitrogen. Moreover, a wide range of research stations and laboratories dealing with the micropropagation and cryopreservation of cassava germplasm might potentially adopt this genotype-independent tissue culture methodology.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1. Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

2.

3.

Reference

1. Agrawal, A., Gowthami, R., Chander, S., Srivastava, V., Shankar, M., & Deepak, D. A. (2024). Biotechnological Tools for Conservation of Plant Genetic Diversity. In *Sustainable Utilization and Conservation of Plant Genetic Diversity* (pp. 711-752). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
2. Akinpelu, A. O., Amangbo, L. E. F., Olojede, A. O., & Oyekale, A. S. (2011). Health implications of cassava production and consumption. *Journal of Agriculture and Social Research (JASR)*, 11(1).
3. Amelework, A. B., & Bairu, M. W. (2022). Advances in genetic analysis and breeding of cassava (*Manihot esculentacrantz*): A review. *Plants*, 11(12), 1617.
4. Aribi, M. M. (2024). Plant Gene Banks: Conservation of Genetic Resources. In *Sustainable Utilization and Conservation of Plant Genetic Diversity* (pp. 753-775). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
5. Babu, K. N., Yamuna, G., Praveen, K., Minoos, D., Ravidran, P. N., & Peter, K. V. (2012). Cryopreservation of spices genetic resources. *Current frontiers in cryobiology*, 457-484.
6. Bidabadi, S. S., & Jain, S. M. (2020). Cellular, molecular, and physiological aspects of *in vitro* plant regeneration. *Plants*, 9(6), 702.

7. Cacai, G. H. T., Houngue, J. A., Houedjissin, S. S., Pativoh, F. G., & Ahanhanzo, C. (2023). Influence of Explants Type, Nodal Positions and Two Cytokinins on Cassava (*Manihot esculenta*, Crantz) *In vitro* Organogenesis. *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*, 26(12), 13-23.
8. Cantabella, D., Dolcet-Sanjuan, R., & Teixidó, N. (2022). Using plant growth-promoting microorganisms (PGPMs) to improve plant development under *in vitro* culture conditions. *Planta*, 255(6), 117.
9. Engelmann, F. (2011). Use of biotechnologies for the conservation of plant biodiversity. *In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology-Plant*, 47, 5-16.
10. Engelmann, F., & Dussert, S. (2012). Cryopreservation. In *Conservation of tropical plant species* (pp. 107-119). New York, NY: Springer New York.
11. FAOSTAT. (2020). Production; Cassava; all Countries. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
12. Gowthami, R., Rajashekar, P. E., Martinez-Montero, M. E., Chander, S., Singh, A. M., Puneeth, G. M., Shankar, M., & Deepak, D. A. (2024). *In Vitro* Techniques for Safeguarding Medicinal Plants with Antiallergic Properties. In *Biotechnology of Medicinal Plants with Antiallergy Properties: Research Trends and Prospects* (pp. 635-672). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
13. Gupta, S., Kachhwaha, S., Kothari, S. L., & Jain, R. (2020). Synergistic effect of cytokinins and auxins enables mass clonal multiplication of drumstick tree (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.): a wonder. *In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology-Plant*, 56, 458-469.
14. Hemmati, N., Cheniany, M., & Ganjeali, A. (2020). Effect of plant growth regulators and explants on callus induction and study of antioxidant potentials and phenolic metabolites in *Salvia tebesana* Bunge. *Botanica serbica*, 44(2), 163-173.
15. Immanuel, S., Jaganathan, D., Prakash, P., & Sivakumar, P. S. (2024). Cassava for Food Security, Poverty Reduction and Climate Resilience: A Review. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, 51(1), 21-31.
16. Kartha, K. K., Gamborg, O. L., Constabel, F., & Shyluk, J. P. (1974). Regeneration of cassava plants from apical meristems. *Plant Science Letters*, 2(2), 107-113.
17. Kaviani, B. (2011). Conservation of plant genetic resources by cryopreservation. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 5(6), 778-800.
18. Khuna, S., Kumla, J., Srinuanpan, S., Lumyong, S., & Suwannarach, N. (2023). Multifarious Characterization and Efficacy of Three Phosphate-Solubilizing

- Aspergillus Species as Biostimulants in Improving Root Induction of Cassava and Sugarcane Stem Cuttings. *Plants*, 12(20), 3630.
19. Konan, N. K., Sangwan, R. S., & Sangwan, B. S. (1994). Somatic embryogenesis from cultured mature cotyledons of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz). *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture*, 37(2), 91-102.
 20. Mapayi, E. F., Ojo, D. K., Oduwaye, O. A., & Porbeni, J. B. O. (2013). Optimization of *in-Vitro* propagation of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) genotypes. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 5(3), 261.
 21. McCallum, E. J., Anjanappa, R. B., & Gruissem, W. (2017). Tackling agriculturally relevant diseases in the staple crop cassava (*Manihot esculenta*). *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 38, 50-58.
 22. Murashige, T. and Skoog, F. (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiol. plant.* 15(3): 473-497.
 23. Nair, R. A., Jayakumar, K. S., & Pillai, P. P. (2017). Gene Banks and Bioprospecting. *Bioresources and Bioprocess in Biotechnology: Volume 1: Status and Strategies for Exploration*, 347-373.
 24. Osen, G. O. (2017). *Molecular Characterization of Cassava (Manihot Esculenta Crantz.) Plants Regenerated Through Organogenesis and Somatic Embryogenesis* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
 25. Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1978). Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Statistical methods for agricultural workers., (Ed. 3). Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
 26. Rajasekharan, P. E., & Ramanatha Rao, V. (2019). Field gene banks and clonal repositories. *Conservation and Utilization of Horticultural Genetic Resources*, 507-528.
 27. Raspor, M., Motyka, V., Kaleri, A. R., Ninković, S., Tubić, L., Cingel, A., & Ćosić, T. (2021). Integrating the roles for cytokinin and auxin in de novo shoot organogenesis: from hormone uptake to signaling outputs. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(16), 8554.
 28. Rodrigues, J. A., Neuhaus, K. W., Hug, I., Stich, H., Seemann, R., & Lussi, A. (2010). *In vitro* detection of secondary caries associated with composite restorations on approximal surfaces using laser fluorescence. *Operative dentistry*, 35(5), 564-571.

29. Shankar, M. (2018). Development of a simple and genotype independent in vitro regeneration system in Sugarcane [*Saccharum*spp] using shoot apex explants. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 9(3), 1077-1082.
30. Sharma, N., Malhotra, E. V., Chandra, R., Gowthami, R., Sultan, S. M., Bansal, S., Shankar, M., & Agrawal, A. (2022). Cryopreservation and genetic stability assessment of regenerants of the critically endangered medicinal plant *Dioscorea deltoidea* Wall. ex Griseb. for cryobanking of germplasm. *In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology-Plant*, 58(4), 521-529.
31. Srivastava, D. K., Gambhir, G., Sharma, P., Panesar, P. S., & Marwaha, S. S. (2013). Plant cell and tissue culture techniques in crop improvement. *Biotechnology in agriculture and food processing, opportunities and challenges*. New York: CRC Press, Taylor and Francis, 73-131.

Table 1. List of media compositions used for shoot induction and proliferation in cassava

S.No	Code	Media composition
1	CMSI 1	MS + 0.05 mg/l BAP + 0.01mg/l NAA+ 30g/l sucrose + 8 g/l agar
2	CMSI 2	MS + 0.5 mg/l BAP + 0.2 mg/l NAA + 30g/l sucrose + 8 g/l agar
3	CMSI 3	MS + 0.2mg/l kinetin + 30g/l sucrose + 8 g/l agar

*MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962)

Table 2. List of media composition used for rooting in cassava

S.No	Code	Media Composition
1	CRM 1	Half MS + 30g/l sucrose + 8 g/l agar
2	CRM 2	Full MS + 1 mg/l IAA + 30g/l sucrose + 8 g/l agar
3	CRM 3	Full MS + 0.2 mg/l IAA + 30g/l sucrose + 8 g/l agar
4	CRM 4	Full MS + 0.5 mg/l IAA + 30g/l sucrose + 8 g/l agar

Table 3. Effect of growth regulators on shoot induction and proliferation in the selected cassava genotypes

Media compositions	Shoot induction frequency (%) (mean of three replicates)	
	YTP 1	Sree Athulya
CMSI 1	15.7 ^b	22.8 ^b
CMSI 2	81.4 ^a	77.8 ^a
CMSI 3	32.8 ^b	5.7 ^c
SE (d)	7.03	6.29
Cd (0.01)	20.26	18.12

Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $p > 0.01$

Table 4. Effect of growth regulators on regeneration frequency in the selected cassava genotype

Media	Regeneration frequency (%) (mean of three replicates)	
	YTP 1	Sree Athulya
CRM 1	3.3 ^d	3.3 ^c
CRM 2	56.6 ^a	46.6 ^a
CRM 3	13.3 ^c	13.3 ^b
CRM 4	33.3 ^b	23.3 ^b
SE (d)	5.08	5.12
Cd (0.01)	17.05	17.19

Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $p > 0.01$



Fig. 1. (A-D): Collection and preparation of shoot apex explants from field grown cassava plants. (A) Selection of explant. (B) Explant isolation. (C) Collection of explants in falcon tube (Sterilized water). (D) Tween 20 wash.

UNDER PEER REVIEW



Fig. 2. Isolation of cassava shoot apex under laminar air flow cabinet





Fig. 3. (A-E): *In vitro* regeneration of cassava genotypes using shoot apex explants. (A) Shoot apices inoculated on CMSI 2 medium.(B) Shoot initiation after 20 days of culture in the CMSI 2 medium. (C) Elongation of shoots after 30 days of inoculation on CMSI 2 medium.(D) *In vitro* regenerated multiple shoots after 60 days of culture on CMSI 2 medium. (E) Plantlet establishment after 20 days of transfer to rooting medium CRM 2.




Fig. 4. (A-B): Rooting and regeneration of cassava (A). Root proliferation (B). Regenerated plant