

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_122255
Title of the Manuscript:	Tomographic comparison of changes in the nasopharynx after treatment with MARPE and self-ligating appliances
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is significant because it compares the effects of self-ligating appliances and MARPE, two orthodontic treatment modalities, on nasopharyngeal dimensions. This study provides important new information for clinicians treating maxillary atresia and related malocclusions, as it shows that MARPE leads to greater improvements in sagittal area, minimum axial area, and nasopharyngeal volume. Improved nasopharyngeal expansion may result in improved patient health overall and better airway function.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I would suggest- Assessment of Nasopharyngeal Changes Following MARPE and Self-Ligating Appliance Treatments: A CT-Based Study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No any changes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	well-structured study , with clear objectives, methodologies, and results	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	this study provides valuable insights into the effectiveness of MARPE compared to self-ligating appliances, with robust methodological and statistical approaches.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No suggestions	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	language of the content is generally clear and appropriate for a scientific audience.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shilpa M Kendre
Department, University & Country	Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, India