

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_121334
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Detection of Some Virulence Genes and Determination the Inhibitory Efficiency of Nano-hybrid Antibiotic against Escherichia coli Isolated from Urinary Tract Infection.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Thank you for asking my opinion about the manuscript entitled " Molecular Detection of Some Virulence Genes and Determination the Inhibitory Efficiency of Nano-hybrid Antibiotic against Escherichia coli Isolated from Urinary Tract Infection " .</p> <p>I believe that this manuscript should be minor revision:</p> <p>Q1. It is very important to change and modify the title. the title is not appropriate.</p> <p>Q2. Are the objectives and the rationale of the study clearly stated?</p> <p>Q3. In the abstract, the research gap was not clearly stated. In addition, the authors need to rewrite the study objectives to be more academic writing</p> <p>Q4. In the introduction, include the study's significance and novelty. What makes the study different from the rest and what does it add to the current knowledge?.</p> <p>Q5. In the introduction, the authors should have explained the purpose of this study and the existing gaps in this field and explained why this study was conducted.</p> <p>Q6. Are the methods clear and replicable? Do all the results presented to match the methods described?</p> <p>Q7. If relevant are the results novel? Does the study provide an advance in the field? Is the data plausible?</p> <p>Q8. References are relevant, correct, and not recent. The number of references should be increased. please add some references. since this is a scientific review, all the sentences need to be supported with references.</p> <p>This study is very beautiful. I liked the sequence and enjoyed reading. Please add more references on similar studies.</p> <p>Q9. There are a lot of grammatical errors. This must be taken care of and addressed.</p> <p>Q10. What are the limitations of the study? A description of limitations is missing at the end of the discussion section.</p> <p>· If your manuscript is related to mine, you can cite it (ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5107-5550).</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Badr Roomi
Department, University & Country	University of Thi-Qar, University of Al-Ayen, Iraq