

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_122021
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of salivary interleukin-6 to non-surgical periodontal therapy in patients with periodontitis. A sub-Saharan experience.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The role of IL-6 on periodontal parameters is known and is written in the periodontics texts. So I think there is not a new discovery issue in the manuscript, although in the region mentioned in this paper, the importance of the relation between IL-6 and periodontal status may be important.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It seems good.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The introduction part needs to be summarized, also the first paragraph in "discussion" part is repeated and needs to be edited.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is written in detail with sufficient information in several tables, it sounds good.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are very old, the newest one is for 2019 which is only one reference. I think there should be added new references instead of these old ones.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ferena Sayar
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran