

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Pathogen Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPR_121653 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Candidiasis: Its Relationship to Diabetes Mellitus |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript, while medically relevant, lacks novelty as it primarily reiterates findings from previous studies. The language used in the document also requires improvement for clarity and readability. Additionally, the research would be more impactful if it focused on diabetic patients with complications specifically. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Incidence of candidiasis and associated risk in diabetic patients | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Abstract need to be improved with more emphasis on incidence of candidiasis in diabetic patients. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Not meeting the scientific standards. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript lacks a research question and addresses a significant gap in literature. A summary of key findings is not included. The design of the study can be changed to a case-control study. The study does not offer new insights or significant contributions. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | No comments | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Average language quality | |
| Optional/General comments | Highlight what makes the study unique and scientific. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Deepthi T |
| Department, University & Country | Sree Gokulam Medical College and Research Foundation, India |