

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_122784
Title of the Manuscript:	Vitamin-resistant rickets type 2: About a new case
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Vitamin D resistant rickets is one of the common cause of calcipenic rickets where there is inactivating mutation of Vitamin D receptor. These patients usually presents with rachitic changes over hands and feet with low calcium, low to normal phosphate, raised iPTH and alkaline phosphatase. Biochemical hallmark is very high 1,25 OH vit D and normal 25 OH vitamin D. Manuscript describes a classical VDDR type II patient (both clinically and biochemically).	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title requires some changes as VDDR type II is not a new case in literature, rather, "A Challenging case of childhood ricket" would be a better appropriate in this case.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive, but following points if added would be better. 1. Specific mutation in next generation sequencing (Pathogenic or VUS) 2. 1,25 vit D level as it is diagnostic of VDDR II.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure are appropriate except the case presentation section which will be in a single paragraph.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically correct as per radiological and biochemical evidences. Characteristics biochemical hallmark of VDDR II is very high 1, 25 OH Vit D level which is missing in this case. Specific mutation of VDR is not mentioned also, whether it is pathogenic or something novel (VUS). Periodic biochemical monitoring including serum calcium, phosphate, ALP, iPTH and 24 hr urinary Calcium and creatinine in a tabular format would be a great help in this case to stamp the case as treatment failure.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Seems sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are various grammatical mistakes in the manuscript which needs to be adressed.	
Optional/General comments	Rickets is a disease of epiphyseal growth plate, not the disease of skeleton. Onset of skeletal changes and alopecia should be present. What is the cause of pathological fracture in VDDR II, do literature search and add in discussion. Please mention the cause of alopecia and its significance in prognosis in this case.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sayantana Chakraborty
Department, University & Country	Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education and Research, India