

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_123045
Title of the Manuscript:	Identifying Constraints to Dryland Farming: A Study of Technical, Socio-Personal, and Financial Barriers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	From the title, the manuscript seems to be very interesting for the farmers all over the world, giving the climatic issues. However, the 5 pages aren't enough for a research paper to give answers to all the indicated problems. Actually, the article refers only to a small part of what it supposed to be, being based on 120 surveys, with answers from farmers located in a certain province from an Indian state.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title isn't complete – please add the area and the period where and when the study was conducted. If not, it seems that you present worldwide results.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please keep the same structure, but add some of your personal findings – in at least one sentence.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I can't consider that the submitted manuscript has a scientific soundness. On the other hand, it is correct being so simple and with very few new information about the topic. Please explain why did you used statistics only for the financial constraints.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Not sufficient, not new, not well cited. Please add some scientific articles dealing with similar subject (at least 5), use the statistical data as you specified in References and complete the Introduction, in order to be a real presentation of the subject you're dealing with.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language is fine.	
Optional/General comments	The subject is way too large to be solved in 5 pages. Adjust Introduction, Methodology, Results – use more statistics, eventually 2-3 graphs. In Conclusions, as well as in Abstract, please add 1-2 phrases with real data – in figures, not only a story. References should be revised and correctly cited in Introduction.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roxana Horoias
Department, University & Country	University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest, Romania