

# **Identifying Constraints to Dryland Farming: A Study of Technical, Socio-Personal, and Financial Barriers**

---

## **ABSTRACT**

Dryland farming is a crucial agricultural practice in many regions, but farmers face various constraints that hinder its adoption. This study investigated the technical, socio-personal, and financial constraints faced by dryland farmers in Prakasam district of Andhra Pradesh. A survey of 120 farmers revealed that technical constraints, particularly insufficient water availability and lack of knowledge on drought mitigation measures, were the most severe. Socio-personal constraints, such as conventional practices and illiteracy, and financial constraints, including high input costs and limited access to credit, also significantly impacted farmers. Statistical analysis using the Friedman test and Wilcoxon signed-rank test showed significant differences between technical and socio-personal constraints, as well as between financial and technical constraints. The findings suggest that addressing technical constraints is crucial to overcoming financial and socio-personal barriers. The study recommends that the Department of Agriculture and other stakeholders focus on creating awareness about moisture conservation techniques, micro-irrigation, and quality seed availability, as well as providing incentives to enhance the adoption of critical interventions in dryland farming.

**Keywords:** dryland farming, technical constraints, socio-personal constraints, financial constraints, wilcoxon signed-rank test.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

FAO has defined drylands as those areas with a length of growing period (LGP) of 1-179 days (FAO, 2000); this includes regions classified climatically as arid, semi-arid and dry sub-humid. Based on the FAO Global Agro-Ecological Zones (GAEZ) modelling system (FAO, 2020), drylands represent 43.20 per cent of total global area in 2020, and are predicted to be 44.20 per cent in 2050. Rainfed agro-ecosystems occupy a considerable place in Indian agriculture, covering 80 M ha in arid, semi-arid and sub-humid climatic zones; constituting nearly 57 per cent of the net cultivated area. Rainfed agriculture supports 40 per cent of human population and 60 per cent livestock population. About 70 per cent of rural population lives in rainfed areas and their livelihoods depend on success or failure of the crops (Rao *et al.*, 2016). Climate change can act as a conflict threat multiplier, whereby already fragile ecosystems and local communities are pushed beyond coping capacity, resulting in increasing tensions related to natural resource access and use (IPCC, 2019). Productivity of rainfed agriculture continues to remain low due to multiple risks and constraints relating to biophysical and socio-economic issues (Rao *et al.*, 2016). Advancement and adoption of moisture conservation technologies by the farmers may improve dryland crop productivity, farm income along with upliftment in their livelihood. Furthermore, harnessing every inch of rainfed lands by following highly efficient technologies is also need of the hour to feed the ever-increasing population (Kaur *et al.*, 2022).

According to the report by the Commission on Inclusive and Sustainable Agricultural Development of Andhra Pradesh (2016), out of the 645 non-urban mandals in the state, 129 have been identified as extremely resource-deprived. These mandals are predominantly located in Anantapuramu (51), Kurnool (30), Kadapa (24), and Prakasam (18). Notably, 64.30 percent of the 129 severely resource-deprived mandals are in the Rayalaseema and Prakasam districts. Prakasam has been considered highly vulnerable under Climate Change vulnerability on account of increased frequency of occurrence of drought /erratic monsoon. With over 60 per cent area under rainfed farming, sustaining the livelihood is a key challenge in the district. (NABARD, 2021). Moreover, Prakasam district has the highest area under dryland among coastal districts of Andhra Pradesh. The current situation necessitated a study to profile the socio-economic status, knowledge, and adoption levels of dryland farmers in the district. This study aimed to gain insights into their understanding and implementation of improved rainfed practices, which are vital for their livelihoods. Based on the



1.	Illiteracy of the farmer	70	58.33	48	40.00	2	1.67	188	II
2.	Inability to take risk	72	60.00	40	33.33	8	6.67	184	IV
3.	Inability to accept new practices	72	60.00	42	35.00	6	5.00	186	III
4.	Small size of the farm	62	51.67	52	43.33	6	5.00	176	V
5.	More interested to follow conventional practices	88	73.33	30	25.00	2	1.67	206	I
6.	Increasing labour scarcity	30	25.00	80	66.67	10	8.33	140	VII
7.	Lack of support from the villagers	54	45.00	64	53.33	2	1.67	172	VI
<b>III</b>	<b>Financial constraints</b>								
1.	Poor economic status of the farmer	17	14.17	95	78.33	8	6.67	129	VII
2.	High cost of inputs	94	78.33	24	20.00	2	1.67	212	I
3.	Inadequate support from financial institutes	60	50.00	58	48.33	2	1.67	178	IV
4.	Delay in sanction of the loans due to stringent procedures followed by financial institutions	80	66.67	40	33.33	0	0.00	200	II
5.	High rate of interest charged by private money lenders	76	63.33	44	36.67	0	0.00	196	III
6.	Lack of savings	28	23.33	84	70.00	8	6.67	140	VI
7.	Flaws in crop insurance schemes	46	38.33	72	60.00	2	1.67	164	V

### 3.1.1 Technical Constraints

From the data, it was observed that insufficient availability of water (226 – I) was the major constraint expressed by dryland farmers followed by non-availability of quality seed in time (208 – II), inadequate knowledge on drought mitigation measures (200 – III), lack of knowledge on water conservation techniques (199 – IV), non-availability of seed treatment chemicals in small quantities (190 – V), inadequate knowledge about foliar application of nutrients (186 – VI), non-availability of improved machinery due to high cost (180 – VII), inadequate knowledge on amount of seed required (178 – VIII), inadequate knowledge on intercrops to be grown (176 – IX) and lack of timely weather-based information (154 – X).

The major problem in dryland farming is lack of irrigation water and hence this was perceived as major constraint by majority of the farmers. Most of the dryland farmers cannot take up seed production due to unfavourable weather conditions and often depend upon private seed dealers for seed. Moreover, the Bt. cotton seed has to be purchased from these dealers invariably and the farmers are confronted with the problem of quality seed and this might be the reason for most of them for expressing the availability quality seed as an important constraint. Due to illiteracy, most of the farmers lack knowledge on foliar application of nutrients. The findings clearly indicate that the Department of Agriculture has to create awareness through demonstrations and Farmers Field Schools on moisture conservation techniques, micro irrigation techniques and also regularly inspect the private seed dealers to avoid the sale of spurious seed.

### 3.1.2 Socio Personal Constraints

The constraints of dryland farmers with regard to socio personal aspects in the rank order were more interested to follow conventional practices (206 – I), illiteracy of the farmer (188 – II), inability to accept new practices (186 – III), inability to take risk (184 – IV), small size of the farm (176 – V), lack of support from the villagers (172 – VI), increasing labour scarcity (140 – VII).

Majority of the dryland farmers in the study area were old aged with low or no education. Hence, they were more interested to follow conventional practices. As most of the respondents were small and marginal farmers with poor financial resources, they were reluctant to accept new practices and bear the risk.

### 3.1.3 Financial Constraints

The constraints of dryland farmers with regard to financial aspects based on total score and rank order of their importance were high cost of inputs (212 – I), delay in sanction of the loans due to stringent procedures followed by financial institutions (200 – II), high rate of interest charged by private money lenders (196 – III), inadequate support from financial institutes (178 – IV), flaws in crop insurance schemes (164 – V), lack of savings (140 – VI), poor economic status of the farmer (129 – VII). To increase the rate of adoption of critical interventions the government needs to provide some incentives in the form of input subsidies.

Dryland farming is always associated with risk and farmers are also deprived of financial resources. This might be the reason for most of the farmers perceiving the high cost of inputs as major constraint. Due to their low educational status, they are not much aware of the modalities and procedures to be followed in getting loans in banks and depend on money lenders for borrowing money and hence these were also perceived as other important financial constraints by many of the respondents.

**Table 2 Mean rank by Friedman test for different constraints faced by farmers (n=120)**

S. No.	Constraints	Mean Rank
1.	Technical constraints	2.40
2.	Socio personal constraints	1.98
3.	Financial constraints	1.62

Table 2 showed that the mean ranks obtained by the use of Friedman test was highest for technical constraints (2.40) which means that it was most severe constraint among all the three constraints. The reason for highest mean rank for technical constraints is due to medium to low extension contact and medium to low information seeking behaviour and lack of technical know-how about different dryland critical interventions especially insufficient availability of water, inadequate knowledge on drought mitigation measures, lack of knowledge on water conservation techniques and other in-situ moisture conservation measures.

**Table 3 Test statistics of Friedman test for constraints (n=120)**

S. No.	Statistics	Values
1.	N	120
2.	Chi-square value	22.804
3.	Degrees of freedom	2
4.	p - value	0.000

Table 3 further revealed that the p-value obtained from the Friedman test was 0.000 (<5%). Hence it can be interpreted that there was a significance difference in between the different constraints faced by farmers in adoption of critical interventions in dryland farming. To examine whether the significant difference actually occurs between the different constraints faced by farmers in adoption of critical interventions in dryland farming, we need to run separate Wilcoxon signed rank test (post hoc) on the different combinations of constraints.

**Table 4 Wilcoxon signed rank test (post hoc test) for constraints (n=120)**

S. No.	Constraints	p - values
1.	Technical and socio personal constraints	0.009**
2.	Socio personal and financial constraints	0.321 <sup>NS</sup>

3.	Financial and technical constraints	0.001**
	Alpha value	0.05
	Level of significance	0.05/3 = 0.017

\*\* : Significant ( $p < 0.017$ )

NS : Non-significant

Table 4 further revealed that there is a significant difference between the technical and socio personal constraints faced by the farmers because the p-value is 0.009 ( $p < 0.017$ ) and there is a non-significance difference between the socio personal and financial constraints faced by the dryland farmers because the p-value is 0.321 ( $p > 0.017$ ).

The Table 4 also showed that, there is a significance difference between the financial and technical constraints faced by the dryland farmers because the p-value is 0.001 ( $p < 0.017$ ).

From the analysed data, it can be concluded that there existed significant difference when technical constraints were combined with socio personal and financial constraints where as significant difference was not observed when financial and socio personal constraints were combined. The findings therefore indicate that there is a need to address the technical constraints which will in turn will address both financial and socio personal constraints.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This study identified and ranked the technical, socio-personal, and financial constraints faced by dryland farmers in adopting critical interventions in major crops. The findings revealed that technical constraints, particularly insufficient water availability and inadequate knowledge on drought mitigation measures, were the most severe constraints. Socio-personal constraints, such as illiteracy and conventional practices, and financial constraints, including high input costs and limited access to credit, also significantly impacted farmers. The study highlights the need for targeted interventions to address technical constraints, which will in turn address financial and socio-personal constraints. Specifically, the Department of Agriculture should create awareness on moisture conservation techniques, micro-irrigation, and quality seed availability, while the government should provide incentives in the form of input subsidies to increase the adoption of critical interventions. Addressing these constraints will enhance the productivity and sustainability of dryland farming, ultimately improving the livelihoods of dryland farmers.

#### REFERENCES

1. Commission on inclusive and sustainable agricultural development of Andhra Pradesh. 2016. Revitalizing rainfed agriculture of Andhra Pradesh.
2. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Andhra Pradesh. Agricultural Statistics at a glance. pp.8-16;2020
3. District Planning Office, Prakasam. District Hand Book of Statistics.2018.
4. FAO. Agriculture: towards 2015/30. Technical Interim Report. Economic and Social Dept., FAO, Rome;2000.
5. FAO. Towards a global programme on sustainable dryland agriculture. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome; 2020.
6. IPCC. Climate Change and Land (special report); 2019. Accessed 20 June 2024. Available: <https://www.ipcc.ch/srccl/>.
7. Kaur, R., Kumar, S. and Jaidka, M. Increasing crop productivity in dryland/rainfed areas for achieving the sustainability goal of zero hunger. Indian Farming. 72 (07): 07-10;2022.
8. NABARD. Potential Linked Credit Plan (Prakasam). National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development, Andhra Pradesh Regional Office;, 2021.
9. Rao, Ch. S., Gopinath, K.A., Prasad, Y.G., Sikka, A.K. and Singh, R. Climate Resilient Rainfed Agriculture status and strategies. Indian Farming. 66(2): 02-06; 2016.