

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_122915
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Impact of Elevated Temperature on Reproductive Success in Fall Armyworm
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	About climate changes, especially temperature elevation may retain important investigation attitude on insects and pests population dynamic resurgence in various field crops, to avoid huge damage mistaken.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable, simple and clarify	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is sufficient to elucidate the subject	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsection is suitable for comprehending	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is not robust, but it represent one of the subjects of agriculture problems need investigations at laboratory insect rearing and bioassay levels of biological study, which is field of temperature effect on insect fitness simulation to environment experiments carried out.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and didn't need more	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I feel that English language are sufficiently good and write well	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanan Saalah El-Din Taha
Department, University & Country	Egypt