

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_122828
Title of the Manuscript:	Deciphering Farm Pond Adoption Dynamics and Operational Efficiency in Drought-Prone Regions of Maharashtra, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe this manuscript titled Deciphering Farm Pond Adoption Dynamics and Operational Efficiency in Drought-Prone Regions of Maharashtra, India was written with an excellent data collected and analysed. Looking to the flow and the way of this manuscript written, it shows the complexity and critical ways of the author/s wrote and arranged and used the data very well.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, acceptable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Acceptable.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, acceptable.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I do agree this manuscript is written in scientific and technical manner. The analyses of the data were written in accepted way of technical justification in clear figures. The coefficient corelation analysis techniques used also were spell out clearly in the method. Therefore, this manuscript is accepted in having its scientifically and technically robust in explanation and discussion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English language used is accepted scholarly.	
Optional/General comments	Only on page 13, 14 and 15, all the bullet points, I suggest changing it in numbering.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arniatul Aiza Mustapha
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia