

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_121526
Title of the Manuscript:	Prospects And Issues in the Adoption of Conservation Agriculture in India
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript provides significant insights into the adoption and impact of conservation agriculture, particularly in the context of India. Its comprehensive analysis of both the benefits and challenges associated with conservation practices provides valuable information for researchers, policy makers and practitioners aiming to improve agricultural sustainability. The manuscript is interesting for its detailed exploration of site-specific issues and technological hurdles, which are notable for adapting conservation methods to diverse agricultural conditions.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article, "Prospects and Issues in the Adoption of Conservation Agriculture in India," is apt. This accurately reflects the focus of the manuscript, which explores both the potential benefits and challenges of implementing conservation agriculture in the Indian context. The title effectively conveys the dual nature of the article's content - highlighting both opportunities and obstacles—making it clear to readers what to expect from the study. It also specifies the geographic focus, which is important for understanding the relevance and applicability of the findings.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article provides a good overview of conservation agriculture, highlighting its practices, benefits, and advancements, particularly in India.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Overall, the structure and subsections seem adequate. It's a good idea to include tables, figures or charts to illustrate key points such as adoption rates, technological advances or case studies. This can make complex information more accessible. The article discusses the theoretical benefits of conservation agriculture, but provides few concrete examples or case studies demonstrating its applicability and real impact in different contexts. Some sections, such as those on technology challenges and specific implementation, are too general. The specific technical details and proposed solutions to the problems encountered could be better developed. The article discusses the challenges and problems, but does not provide detailed solutions or recommendations for overcoming them. Detailing possible solutions and how they can be implemented would add value.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its detailed exploration of conservation agriculture in the context of India. The manuscript effectively integrates theoretical concepts with practical perspectives, addressing both the benefits and challenges of adopting conservation practices. The detailed analysis of current scenarios, perspectives and issues, together with a focus on local adaptations and future research, underlines the manuscript's commitment to providing an evidence-based and balanced perspective on conservation agriculture.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Revise references to reflect relevant and more recent work (after 2014) Ideally, the manuscript should include studies from the past 5-10 years to reflect the latest research and developments.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, I think so.	
Optional/General comments	Suggestions for improvement: -Add more information on methodology, findings and conclusions to improve the summary. - Include case studies and examples to illustrate how conservation agriculture is applicable in real-world situations. - Revise references to reflect relevant and more recent work (after 2014). - Provide more detailed technical explanations and solutions for problems found. - Provide recommendations and suggestions for problems and obstacles that arise in the implementation of conservation agriculture.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mirela Anamaria Jimborean
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania