

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJBCRR_122233</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Demographic Factors, Biochemical Markers, and Obstetric History Associated with the Risk of Preeclampsia in a Sudanese Population Sample</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Raised blood pressure is an important health factor in antenatal period. The manuscript provides in details regarding the various biochemical parameters and other demographic factors in details in the case of preeclampsia. The socio economic status plays a crucial role in third world countries for healthcare.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract writes Identify in aim which is not appropriate word. The aim needs to be rewritten. Also platelets are very important and crucial parameter which is not mentioned in abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study should have a sufficiently large and representative sample of the Sudanese population to ensure statistical power and generalizability of the results. Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria are not clearly defined. Overall the study appears well conceptualized.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are written in Vancouver style. 10 out of 35 references are beyond last 5 years	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Puja Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bundelkhand Government Medical College, India</b>