

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_121304
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Production and Marketing of Betel Vine
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important. It focusses on a crucial aspect on Indian economy. However, the manuscript needs improvement/major correction	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good but details mention of "systematic review approaches" is highly essential.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsection needs improvement.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific correctness is extremely required. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. For example, "methodology" part is mentioned in general way. The details mentioned in the methodology needs improvement in terms of systematic approaches. 2. The references across all the section are not focused to last 5-7 years' data. Hence, the data improvement in highly required 3. Once, the reference is updated the data will be automatically changed 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. The references are not sufficient and recent. Please focus on data of last 5-7 years.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and formation of the sentences can be improved.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saikat Mazumder
Department, University & Country	Guru Nanak Institute of Technology, India