

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_122732
Title of the Manuscript:	The main challenges faced in the implementation of Government policy interventions towards access to pre-primary and primary education by street children in North Rift, Kenya
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Interpretation of results: In interpretation, part researches have also been quoted to justify the results. The unit of measurements and scientific and technical terms properly cited.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the Research Paper : “The main challenges faced in the implementation of Government policy interventions towards access to pre-primary and primary education by street children in North Rift, Kenya”	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Statistical procedures: The tests, scales and measurement tools have been adequately considered and quantified in the study and the generated data were given appropriate statistical treatment and were properly analyzed to make them scientifically valid and acceptable.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Analytical Procedure: Appropriate research design has been implied under material and methods. The procedure of sampling the respondents has been appropriately described. Also, sufficient reasons should be given for selected sampling method. The sample size is adequate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Quality of discussion: The results have been presented systematically under findings and discussions. The findings have been presented in tables, graphs and photographs adequately to explain the findings. Findings have been put-up logically and critically. Bar diagramme should be vertical manner.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Language, grammar and clarity of expression: The overall language of the manuscript is grammatically good. Typing, presentation and clarity are also good. References: It should be alphabetical but not has been written systematically	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satya Prakash
Department, University & Country	Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, India