

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_122535
Title of the Manuscript:	Balantidium Coli Colitis Revealing a Common Variable Immunodeficiency: A Case Report
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific community will find this publication interesting because it clarifies the uncommon but important connection between infections with Balantidium Coli and Common Variable Immunodeficiency (CVID). It emphasizes the need for heightened awareness among healthcare professionals regarding atypical presentations of common pathogens. I value this manuscript's comprehensive clinical analysis and the insights it offers into the interaction between immunological deficits and parasite infections, since these can guide future investigations and clinical procedures.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Unmasking Common Variable Immunodeficiency: A Case of Balantidium Coli Colitis in an Immunocompromised Patient.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a concise overview of the case, including the identification of Balantidium Coli as a pathogen and its association with Common Variable Immunodeficiency (CVID).	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate for conveying the information effectively.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors provide a detailed account of the patient's clinical presentation, laboratory findings, and treatment regimen, which are essential for understanding the complexities of diagnosing and managing such cases. The inclusion of relevant background information and a discussion of atypical features further enhances the technical soundness of the manuscript, making it a valuable contribution to the understanding of the interplay between parasitic infections and immune disorders.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Updated guidelines on the diagnosis and management of Common Variable Immunodeficiency from relevant immunology societies.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article appear to be generally suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Himanshu Sharma
Department, University & Country	GSVM Medical College, India