

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJORRIN_122032</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Myasthenia Gravis in Pregnancy</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a well written comprehensive review on Myasthenia Gravis and its implication before during and after pregnancy. This article is very important both to the patient with the myasthenia gravis, the obstetrician and the anaesthesiologist who will manage the patient.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is excellent.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract touched on all important aspects of the article. I think it is comprehensive enough.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections has touched on all areas to be considered. The structure is excellent.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a well-researched article with a lot of recent research work been cited. The areas on fertility and myasthenia gravis has been well written. This is not common to find in other articles. The management during pregnancy has also been comprehensively addressed as well as the management of the new born and its breastfeeding have all been comprehensively discussed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used is excellent and is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Excellent Work done.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Akwasi Antwi-Kusi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana</b>