

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_122530
Title of the Manuscript:	FETAL OUTCOME EVALUATION IN HIGH-RISK TERM PREGNANCY USING BIOPHYSICAL PROFILE AT A TERTIARY HOSPITAL IN ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Manuscript Study design 1. In study technique you can mention about BPP components in more concise form, or you can mention it in tabular format. 2. Why you are comparing marital status of the patient and what is its effect on fetal outcome, if not is there any need for it.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract Result - You mention about HIV as risk factor, from where did you find it, there is no mention in the actual manuscript. There is no mention of Association between BPP and fetal outcomes. Result in abstract can be better written so that gives a crisp inference of your study</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Result Reduce number of tables., Keep only the relevant data. Try to club tables together which are relevant to your study and primary outcomes. Avoid repetition of same data (eg. Mode of delivery) Discussion When comparing your study with other studies, keep similar order for all the parameters. i.e either mention findings of your study first followed by findings of other study or vice versa.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall it is a very good research article. All the best.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kiran Pisekar
Department, University & Country	U N Mehta Institute of Cardiology and Research Centre, India