

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_122336
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, health practices and Nutritional Policies for Gestational Diabetes, Obesity and Maternal Health for Midwifery and Nurses in Allied and District Hospital Faisalabad
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is valuable for the scientific community as it sheds light on the gaps in knowledge and practices among nurses and midwives regarding GDM and obesity in Pakistan. By addressing these gaps, the study underscores the need for improved nutritional policies and education, which are crucial for better maternal health outcomes. The focus on healthcare providers in a low-resource setting makes this research particularly relevant and impactful.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article is informative but could be made more concise and focused. A suggested alternative title could be: "Knowledge and Practices of Nurses and Midwives Regarding Gestational Diabetes and Obesity in Faisalabad Hospitals, Pakistan."</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is generally comprehensive, but there are a few areas where it could be improved for clarity and completeness:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Clarify the Objective: The abstract should clearly state the objective of the study. The current wording is somewhat vague; it could benefit from explicitly mentioning the focus on assessing knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) of nurses and midwives towards GDM and obesity. Detail on Methods: While the methods are briefly described, it would be helpful to specify the type of questionnaire used and how it was developed or validated. Also, the abstract mentions HBV infection, which seems out of context and should be corrected. Highlight Key Results: The results section could be more specific. For instance, it should emphasize the significance of the findings, such as the percentage of participants who lacked knowledge about post-exposure prophylaxis or the exact nature of the false beliefs held by the participants. Conclude with Actionable Insights: The conclusion should be more focused on actionable insights. While it mentions the need for further education and preventive measures, it could be stronger by suggesting specific interventions or next steps. <p>Suggested Revision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Remove any irrelevant references (e.g., HBV infection). Add specific details on the type of questionnaire and validation. Emphasize key statistical findings. Provide clear, actionable recommendations in the conclusion. 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate, provided it includes clear sections like Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, and Conclusion. This organization supports the logical flow of the research.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound as it employs a cross-sectional descriptive study design, which is appropriate for assessing knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) among healthcare professionals. The use of a pre-tested and structured questionnaire ensures the reliability of the data collected. Additionally, the application of statistical analysis using SPSS to identify associations between variables further strengthens the validity of the findings. These methodological approaches provide confidence in the study's results and conclusions.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article appear generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, if there are any grammatical errors, unclear phrases, or inconsistencies in the full manuscript, these should be addressed to ensure clarity and professionalism in scholarly contexts.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript offers valuable insights into healthcare providers' knowledge and practices regarding Gestational Diabetes Mellitus and obesity. Please ensure that grammatical and phrasing errors are corrected and that ethical considerations are clearly clarified.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anantha Krishna B S
Department, University & Country	India