

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_122372
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTIONS OF STAKEHOLDERS REGARDING USE OF ICT IN TEACHERS' PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT: A QUALITATIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well presented and makes a significant to the body of knowledge. The emerging issue of usage of technology in the field of teaching and learning is well presented in terms of perceptions of stakeholders Selected literature is well reviewed	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract covers most parts of the manuscript	
T subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There is evidence of well-reviewed literature to support the study The methodology clearly shows the research design, sampling procedures and data collection procedures. The findings are well presented descriptively and with corresponding discussion. Conclusion is based on findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the article is suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments	Separate the recommendations from the conclusion	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Robert Kati
Department, University & Country	Kibabii University, Kenya