

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120713
Title of the Manuscript:	Contribution of KKS Students in Fostering Faith and Piety of the Young Generation in Rural Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Abstract</p> <p>1. Generally ABSTRACT always shows the theme of research work which should be consist upon three parts:</p> <p>1st introduction of research with in one or two lines 2nd Explanation of introduction thematically within a little passage 3rd Then conclusion or result is shown up with in a couple of line including KEY WORDS. Key words are not sentence In the above mentioned title paper it seems writer is not aware about the real shape of Abstract he is even saying the research methodology in it. I suggest to rewriter at least the abstract which is really has a good theme ...</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>I couldn't find even abbreviation of KKS . what is its history ? where is situated ? who are organizer or runner of KKS ? no any interview or journal about mentioned ? Please include the answers of above questions briefly in the portion of introductin..</p> <p>Literature review</p> <p>In this portion it is obligatory to mention the previous work which is done on this topic ie books articles etc must be mentioned and scholar should explain the angle of his own research I mean what is his edition in the work going on... Result or conclusion should be at the end of paper and then scholar's suggestions are empower the work of research To quote any passage should be in inverted comas and then scholar may comments upon... Many grammatically mistakes and wording mistakes are fond as well like YOUNGER GENERATION etc Finally I appreciate the work which may publish after above corrections..</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Tahir Sadiq</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>NUML University, Pakistan</p>