

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJARR_122142</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Navigating the Obstacles to Reporting and Prosecuting Sexual Harassers Within High-Pressured Environments</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript offers a significant contribution to understanding the complex issue of sexual harassment within the entertainment industry. By combining quantitative and qualitative methods, the authors effectively illuminate the multifaceted challenges faced by victims and the systemic factors that perpetuate harassment. This research is crucial for informing the development of effective prevention and intervention strategies, and it serves as a catalyst for broader societal discussions on gender equality and workplace safety.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is clear, informative, and accurately reflects the paper's focus. It effectively conveys the central theme of the research while maintaining a professional tone.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	If possible, include specific numerical data or percentages to strengthen the impact of your findings.  Briefly mention how the study contributes to existing theory or knowledge in the field.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes its appropriate	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	N.A	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes references are suitable . No amendments needed	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality is generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, to enhance its impact, consider deeper analysis and adherence to a specific citation style	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	A more in-depth analysis of the research methodology, data analysis, and interpretation is needed to strengthen the scientific soundness of the study.  Disclosing any potential competing interests is crucial for maintaining research integrity.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Syed Muhammad Sarim Sajid</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bahria University, Pakistan</b>