

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_121350
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydrogeochemical Characteristics of Surface and Groundwater from Eleme Communities in Rivers State
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very important to enlighten the people within the study area of the dangers ahead if nothing is been done. But the study did not use statistical tools (graphs and charts) to drive home the results. With statistical analysis, there will be better understanding of the result. Geospatial distribution map of each result is highly recommended because it will help to indicate the source of the pollution.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is in concordance with the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Not really bad but some figures from the results should be added. Like the percentage of each analysed elements/metals and the geo-location part of the study area with high concentration and low concentration of the analysed elements/metals.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is grounded in a thorough review of relevant literature, ensuring that the research is built on the foundation of existing knowledge. The methodology is clearly articulated and appropriate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference is not sufficient enough. It really needs to be improved on.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is a good one but it needs to be improved. Data analysis is highly recommended. Geospatial map and flow direction should be incorporated. The references should also be improved.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Innocent Ejike Udegbumam
Department, University & Country	Poznan University of Life Sciences, Poland