

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_122483
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON FINANCIAL LITERACY FOR FARMER-EDUCATE TO EMPOWER
Type of the Article	Review Article

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this manuscript is that it serves as an eye opener for farmers to be well informed and orientated on their financial prowess towards their farming business. This would invariably help them to minimize cost and maximize profit.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, very sustainable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but some points need to be recast. I have made the corrections in yellow ink	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate but some subsections need to be recast. I have made my corrections on the manuscript	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically correct because it reveals the cankerworm ( financial illiteracy) that can eat up a farmer's investment. It also reveals the ways to combat this menace	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, they are	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The research work is satisfactory	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Olubamise Deborah Bolanle</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University Oye-Ekiti, Nigeria</b>