

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_121617
Title of the Manuscript:	Rise of Agritourism in India: A Scoping Review
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p><i>This research paper begins with literature review which is followed by introductions to tourism in India and the study area.</i></p> <p><i>The article has concrete research objectives, reliable conclusions, and certain theoretical innovations in specific research topics and methodology. However, I have some comments, and I hope that if they are resolved, the quality of the documents will be improved.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) In the literature review section, it should not be a simple listing of the paper's ideas, but needs to be a summative evaluation of the paper's content, features, strengths and weaknesses. (2) In the Agritourism in India section, there is a need to summarise the current status, characteristics and guiding theories of agritourism development in different regions of India, rather than just a case presentation. (3) In the application of the research methodology, the use of SWOT is somewhat redundant, and the existing combination of quantitative and qualitative methods can solve certain problems. (4) In the structure of the whole thesis, the conclusion is not closely enough linked to the previous part of the analysis, and there is a need to strengthen the intrinsic connection between the data analysis and the later conclusions of the study, as well as to put forward constructive comments and measures to promote the sustainable development of agro-tourism in India, and to develop a set of theories and models. 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		

Review Form 3

<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zhiguo Yao
Department, University & Country	Lishui University, China