

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_121617
Title of the Manuscript:	Rise of Agritourism in India: A Scoping Review
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it examines how agritourism can improve rural livelihoods, diversify farmers' incomes, and boost national GDP. It highlights how agritourism can address agricultural challenges like climate uncertainties and low income by providing alternative revenue sources. By combining agritourism with rural, health, and adventure tourism, it offers new opportunities for sustainable development and poverty reduction in rural India. While the analysis is thorough and practical, the manuscript would benefit from more recent data and additional case studies.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes. The title Rise of Agritourism in India: A Scoping Review is suitable as it clearly indicates the focus of the article and the type of review conducted.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes. The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its comprehensive approach, including a detailed literature review and a qualitative methodology. It effectively analyzes demand and supply patterns, farmer perceptions, and challenges in agritourism, providing valuable insights and practical recommendations.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes. I have no suggestion for additional references	
Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, the language is acceptable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ibrahim Dumbuya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Njala University, Sierra Leone</b>